

Cabinet

Agenda

Date: Tuesday, 21st April, 2015

Time: 2.00 pm

Venue: Committee Suite 1,2 & 3, Westfields, Middlewich Road, Sandbach CW11 1HZ

This is a supplementary agenda paper relating to Item 18 on the Cabinet agenda.

PART 1 – MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED WITH THE PUBLIC AND PRESS PRESENT

18. Children's Social Care Innovation Programme: Project Crewe (Pages 1 - 50)

To consider a report confirming receipt of DfE Innovation Fund monies for the Children's Social Care Innovation Programme: Project Crewe, and seeking authority for the Council to act as accountable body.

This page is intentionally left blank

CHESHIRE EAST COUNCIL

Cabinet

Date of Meeting:21st April 2015Report of:Tony Crane, Director of Children's ServicesSubject/Title:Children's Social Care Innovation Programme: Project CrewePortfolio Holder:Councillor Rachel Bailey, Safeguarding Children and Adults

1 Report Summary

- 1.1 Cheshire East Council, working closely with Catch 22, a national voluntary organisation, has been successful in securing approximately £1.9m for Innovation from the Department for Education.
- 1.2 The Council is one of only a small number across the country to secure DfE Innovation Fund monies with the express intention of concentrating resources on prevention rather than cure. This raises both the Council's profile on the national stage and puts outcomes for residents at the vanguard of innovation by working closely with families to co-design effective and accessible services.
- 1.3 Evaluation and learning from the 'Cheshire East Way' could well be rolled out across the country.
- 1.4 This report outlines the proposed investment, why it is innovative and the outcomes it will deliver. In addition, the report will seek the formal approvals to reflect the financial approvals necessary to make the programme a reality.

The Children's Social Care Innovation Programme supports the development, testing and sharing of more effective ways of supporting children and families who need help from children's social care services. It has two main focus areas:-

- Focussing on prevention rather than cure.
- Rethinking children's social work improving the quality and impact of children's social work;
- Rethinking support for adolescents in or on the edge of care.
- 1.5 It is extremely positive that Cheshire East Council has been awarded this grant; many councils applied and were unsuccessful. The project has the potential to really strengthen and enhance the service we offer to the most vulnerable children and their families in Cheshire East.
- 1.6 Cheshire East is an improving Council in respect of our social care function, verified recently by Ofsted in our progress inspection. Over the last 18 months we have transformed our social care workforce and improved the quality of our front line practice, particularly to strengthen the "front door" arrangement and the quality and impact of our child protection service. We believe that to

make the progress for our children in need we need a different approach. The potential therefore, that the innovation project offers us is not just to meet some of our current challenges, it will test the contribution that a more innovative approach can make in taking the Authority to where it aspires to be, which is good or outstanding."

- 1.7 The intended outcomes of the project (currently known as project Crewe), are
 - To test the validity of statutory guidance and to demonstrate the benefits of Local Authorities working with non-statutory social businesses in this area of work.
 - Reduce social care team caseloads, by eliminating unnecessary cycle of risk and need within Children in need, and reducing unnecessary escalations to Child Protection status, which in turn will reduce staff turnover and improve the quality of practice.
 - Realise significant financial savings to the local authority by reducing reliance on agency social workers; and increasing the cost-benefit of interventions.

2 Recommendations

- 2.1 To authorise the Council to act as accountable body for the DfE Section 31 Grant funded Innovation Programme.
- 2.2 To approve a supplementary revenue estimate for 2015/16 of £998k.
- 2.3 To note that a further revenue estimate of £899k will be required as part of budget setting for the 2016/17 budget.

3 Reasons for Recommendations

- 3.1 Cheshire East Council was approached by Catch 22 a reputable national voluntary organisation with a proven track record of working in partnership with the council to apply for DfE funding to deliver services to children in need in the Crewe area in an innovative new way. Catch 22 are fully aware of the challenges in delivering services in Crewe and the challenges in recruiting social workers in this area. Innovations in the service will include:
- 3.2 Greater use of **Non-social work qualified Family Practitioners** and **use of 'Community Capital'** to increase resources available to children's social care and challenge current statutory guidance whilst ensuring that professional oversight is maintained on all cases.
- 3.3 In practice this means that those Children in Need who are not on the cusp of Child Protection or edge of care but do need prolonged intervention to maintain them safely within their family, improve their outcomes and life opportunities, will be provided with intensive and sustained interventions via Catch 22 with oversight from social work consultants.

- 3.4 This approach should also ensure that those children who require a social work intervention as they are in need of protection will also receive an improved service from social workers with manageable caseloads.
- 3.5 The draft award agreement with DfE specifies a number of commitments from the Council as the accountable body; others flow from the original bid document. The most significant of these are listed below:
 - Funding is made under section 31 of the Local Government Act 2003. In summary that means that:
 - the amount of a grant under this section, the manner of its payment and any conditions attached are for the person paying it to determine.
 - Funding is only provided for the financial year in which it has been allocated.
 - Payments are linked to milestones.
 - Provide matched funding for at least £377k over the life of the project. In addition, the Council will be required to provide £82k of in-kind funding through a contribution towards corporate overheads (e.g. IT, Office accommodation etc.).

4 Financial Implications

- 4.1 The expected funding flows for the Innovation Grant monies are firstly an upfront amount prior to commencement of the project (which has been received, as outlined above) and then quarterly grant instalments over the next 12 months.
- 4.2 The vast majority of the costs for the project are staffing. Catch 22 will recruit and manage the staff and therefore monies will be transferred to Catch 22 on a quarterly basis in advance to meet staffing costs.
- 4.3 The Chief Operating Officer and the Executive Director of Strategic Commissioning will explore options to meet the Council's £377k share of these costs.

5 Legal Implications

- 5.1 This request for an urgent decision follows the process described in the Council Constitution Appendix 4: Urgent Decisions: Cabinet / Executive matters.
- 5.2 The Council's authority to act is derived from the general power of competence under the Localism Act 2011.

6 Governance Arrangements

6.1 Governance is via an Implementation Board, comprising of key *Executive Sponsors* who will provide oversight, assurance and guidance and will submit regular reports to the LSCB to ensure the pilot achieves its stated objectives. The Implementation Board will become the Delivery Board following

successful implementation, and will continue to monitor and assure delivery during the pilot period and the project will report regularly to The Local Safeguarding Children' Board.

7 Risk Management

- 7.1 As part of the development of this project a comprehensive risk log was developed and is on page 27 of Appendix 1(Catch 22 CSCIP proposal)
- 7.2 Having considered the options and risks set out in this report the Council agrees to be the accountable body for the Innovation Fund on the basis that:
 - The funding is split across 2015/16 £998k and 2016/7 £899k;
 - The risks have been identified, considered and can be mitigated;
 - Each participating organisation will provide a letter of support outlining their commitment to the project and their obligations

8 Conclusions

- 8.1 Having considered the options and risks set out in this report the Council agrees to be the accountable body for the Innovation Fund on the basis that:
 - The funding is split across 2015/16 £998k and 2016/7 £899k;
 - The risks have been identified, considered and can be mitigated;
 - Each participating organisation will provide a letter of support outlining their commitment to the project and their obligations

9 Background papers

9.1 The background papers relating to this report can be inspected by contacting the report writer:

Jonathan Potter – Principal Manager – Cheshire East Family Service

Number - 01606 275891

Email address - jonathan.potter@cheshireeast.gov.uk

Vicky Buchanan – Principal Manager – Child in Need and Child Protection

Number – 01606 271719

Email - Vicky.Buchanan@cheshireeast.gov.uk



Full Proposal to the DfE Innovation Programme – January 2015



Project Crewe Testing a new model of Children in Need Delivery



Lead Provider: Catch22 Key Partner: Cheshire East Council

Contact Lead:

Naomi Hulston Assistant Director, Catch22 (e) <u>Naomi.Hulston@Catch-22.org.uk</u> (t) 0207 336 4843

Table of Contents

1	Executive Summary	3
2	Context	4
	Our credentials	4
	Cheshire East – Local Context	4
3	Case for Change	5
4	Our Proposal	7
	 Changes to the local systems and procedures 	7
	Changes to front line delivery	9
	 Project Crewe Testing our proposed changes 	10
	Roles and responsibilities	11
5	Evidence of Progress	11
	Outcomes and Benefits	11
	Evaluation	12
6	Making it Happen	12
	Risk management	15
7	Finances	16
	Funding Request	16
	Value for Money	17
	Assumptions	19
	Financial Sustainability	19
8	Scale and spread	20
Anne	xes	
Α	Project Crewe Theory of Change Model	21
В	Catch22 Case Studies	22
С	Family Practitioner Job Description and Person Spec - Draft	23
D	Project Plan	Attached separately
Е	Risk Log	27
F	Budget	Attached separately

1. Executive Summary

Catch22 is highly experienced in working directly with children, young people and their families to help them turn their lives around. We are keen to build on this experience and to work in partnership with Cheshire East Council to develop new ways of delivering traditional social care services and address some of the fundamental challenges facing Local Authorities today.

The Problem: Children identified as being within the Children in Need (CIN) spectrum often do not receive the proactive interventions required to tackle the underlying causes of concerns, this leads to repeat escalations in risk and need. We believe this is as a result of three key contributing factors:

Statutory

- **Statutory Interpretations of Section 17** of the Children Act 1989 have resulted in growing resourcing and delivery problems within Children's social care.
- Focus on compliance and risk within regulatory frameworks and historical structures, customs and practice leave little space for new ways of working to be developed and tested.

Resource

• Shortage of social workers comparative to the number required by statutory frameworks and number of CIN nationally.

Delivery

• **Reactive interventions** resulting from a natural tendency to prioritise cases perceived to present immediate higher risks which can lead to CIN interventions being reactive in response to crisis and not aimed at achieving sustainable long term outcomes.

<u>The Innovations</u>: Catch22 and Cheshire East Council have developed an innovative, new approach to delivering CIN services which we would like to pilot in Crewe. Innovations in the service will include:

- Non-social work qualified Family Practitioners and use of 'Community Capital' to increase resources available to children's social care and challenge current statutory guidance.
- **Pod team structures** to change the structure of traditional children's social care teams, encouraging collaboration and best practice sharing.
- **Personalised practitioner training budgets** to enable teams to be more responsive to their own training needs and the needs of the community in which they are based.
- Holistic, intensive and child centred approach to CIN delivery to move away from reactive interventions towards addressing underlying needs and causes of concern, and will prevent the unnecessary escalation of cases.
- **Ethnographic research** to ensure increased participation and engagement of children and families in the on-going development of the service and interventions.

The Outcomes: We believe Project Crewe will:

- Test the validity of statutory guidance;
- Reduce social care team caseloads, by eliminating unnecessary cycle of risk and need within CIN, and reducing unnecessary escalations to CP status, which in turn will reduce staff turnover and improve the quality of practice;
- Realise significant financial savings to the local authority by reducing reliance on agency social workers; and increasing the cost-benefit of interventions

We are seeking £1,370,660 from the DfE to finance the mobilisation and implementation of Project Crewe and the operating costs for the first nine months of the pilot. Cheshire East Council is committed to contributing an additional £438,651 in funding, including £356,361 in direct contributions to finance the last 3 months of the 12 month pilot period.

A Theory of Change diagram outlining the principle elements of our proposal is provided as Annex A.

2. Context

The key problem we have identified within children's social care services, and which our proposal seeks to address, is that many children within the CIN cohort fall victim to 'Start-again syndrome'. We believe this is caused primarily by current statutory requirements which result in a number of resourcing and delivery problems within the sector. Vicky Buchanan, Cheshire East's Principal Social Worker explains 'Start-again Syndrome as follows:

"For many children in the CIN cohort, whose level of risks and need is not moving towards the arenas of CP and LAC, there is a tendency to deliver interventions in response to an immediate crisis and not to tackle underlying causes of concern. Such reactive interventions do not result in sustained change and produce only temporary reductions in the level of risk and need faced by the child. This produces a revolving door approach whereby cases frequently escalate and de-escalate cyclically in and out of CIN status." Vicky Buchanan, Principal Social Worker Cheshire East

Catch22 and Cheshire East Council together believe Project Crewe will evidence that our new CIN delivery model delivers better outcomes for children and their families. Project Crewe will challenge the existing statutory requirements placed on traditional children's social care teams through implementing and validating innovations to the way social care teams are resourced and their approach to working with CIN children and families. The changes we propose will increase the resources available through utilising non-qualified family practitioners; and will ensure a new model of delivery grounded in providing proactive and intensive interventions to address the underlying causes of concerns surrounding the child.

Our credentials

Catch22 have significant experience and expertise working with children, young people and families with complex needs. We provide a range of Intensive Family Support, Family Counselling and Mediation services across the country. Through our 'whole family' support model we support children and their families to make positive, lasting changes when they are struggling to cope with linked and complex issues in their lives.

In Thurrock, our Intensive Family Support service aims to reduce the risk of children going into care, family evictions, and anti-social and offending behaviour and to improve educational attainment. In 2013/14 the service saw 86 per cent of service users reduce or stop incidents of domestic abuse and 89 per cent achieved better family communication and positive routines.

Further evidence of our credentials can be found in our most recent impact report, available <u>here</u>¹. We have also included some short case studies summarising our work with children and families in Thurrock and Wirral as Annex B. Catch22 have a successful existing relationship with Cheshire East Council as one of the Troubled Families providers and CSE Missing Services.

Cheshire East – Local Context

Cheshire East is a growing region with over 370,100 residents, of whom around 21 per cent (74,900) are children and young people aged between 0 and 17. Most children in the region flourish against the indicators defining a good childhood.

However, there are great disparities and inequalities within the region with some areas are counted amongst some of the most deprived in the country. Approximately, 12.5 per cent of children in Cheshire East under 16 live in poverty. This accounts for some 8,000 children, focused mainly around the towns of Crewe and Macclesfield and within some of the smaller, rural areas.

¹ http://www.catch-22.org.uk/news/introducing-catch22-impact-report-201314/

Project Crewe – Testing a new model of CIN delivery

Cheshire East's Children's Social Care team receive approximately 200 child referrals a month and carry around 1,500 open cases at any one time. At present, 1,176 of these are assessed as CIN (79 per cent), 270 children are subject to a Child Protection Plan and 333 children are in care.

3. The Case for Change

We observe that many of the challenges faced by children's social care at present can be separated into three categories; statutory, resource and delivery. We consider that these challenges propagate a negative cycle of escalation and de-escalation in respect of those social care cases at the lower to medium end of risk and need, as outline in Figure 1.

The aspects of our proposal focusing on the resourcing and delivery of services will have important implications for current statutory guidelines. Here we outline the specific nature of these challenges in more detail.



Figure 1: 'Start Again Syndrome' Cycle

Statutory Challenges

In accordance with DfE findings, we recognise that statutory interpretations of section 17 of the Children Act 1989 and the way in which children's social care teams have worked historically have resulted in regulatory frameworks and structures, customs and practice which tend to focus attention on compliance and risk avoidance. This leaves little space available for innovation and the development of new ways of working.

• Section 17 of the 1989 Children's Act stipulates that "before giving any assistance or imposing any conditions, a local authority shall have regard to the means of the child concerned and of each of his parents". The statutory interpretation of this found in Working Together and applied by Ofsted in their assessments requires qualified social workers to assess, plan and manage interventions and support for children who are classified as CIN.

Resource Challenges

The demand for qualified and experienced social workers required by statutory framework and the number of children's social care referrals far surpasses the number available. This is amplified in Cheshire East (particularly in Crewe) being a distance from the main conurbations in the North West where the majority of social workers train. This has contributed to the development of specific resourcing issues, summarised as follows:

- Low retention of qualified social workers low expected working life of just 7.7 years for female and 8 years for male social workers which contributes to the shortage of qualified and experienced social workers needed to fill vacancies in Cheshire East and nationally.
- High caseloads result from the statutory obligations placed on social workers in regards to assessing and planning interventions for children in the care system, and is further exacerbated by the current shortage. Policy Exchange report that 70 per cent of social workers now say their workloads have reached 'unmanageable' levels.
- **High agency social worker costs** in order to plug gaps within services local authorities incur huge costs associated with the temporary recruitment of agency social work staff. Nationally, over one in 10 social worker posts are covered by agency staff, and in Cheshire East this is slightly higher.

Submission to the DfE Children Social Care Innovation Fund – January 2015

Lack of stability of agency staff – whilst many agency staff are able to offer high quality social work, it is the experience of Cheshire East, as elsewhere, that these workers are reluctant to commit to any notice period greater than one week. As a result, where agency staff leave at short notice, teams are left with cases to re-distribute to existing teams which exacerbates the caseload issues. In a recent recruitment round, of 10 agencies managers shortlisted, when the Local Authority required a minimum notice period of one month, only two attended for an interview.

Delivery Challenges

There are a large number of delivery challenges facing children's social care teams. First, there are those that are a consequence of the resourcing challenges mentioned above; secondly, there are those relating to the statutory and traditional methods of practice within social care teams.

The delivery challenges related to resourcing issues include:

Reactive interventions – The resourcing issues outlined above mean that social workers, who hold diverse caseloads comprised of children across the CIN, Child Protection (CP) and Looked After Children (LAC) cohorts, will, of necessity, prioritise the highest risk and need cases. Consequently, once assessed as CIN, many children may receive only reactive support from social work teams and intervention at the point of escalation. Reactive interventions may alleviate temporary concerns relating to the perceived level of crisis; however they do not fully address the root causes of concerns. As such, they do not prevent levels of risk and need escalating a little later down the line; and do not effect sustainable change or improve lasting outcomes for the child within their family.

Furthermore, the urgency within which reactive interventions are implemented does not leave time for social workers to ensure that children' experiences, views and wishes are incorporated into assessment and planning, an observation made in Cheshire East's most recent Ofsted inspection in March 2013.

 Poor quality relationships between social worker and child - High staff turnover and the use of agency staff mean that many CIN children experience frequent changes in social worker, and whilst this is improving in Cheshire East, it can lead to frustration and upset with the child having to re-tell their stories and to difficulties in forming strong, trusting relationships with social workers and other professionals. Accordingly, children may not disclose invaluable information that could impact on their perceived levels of risk and need; and social workers may not have all the information required to know the type and level of intervention that will be effective.

Delivery challenges relating to statutory demands and traditional methods of practice

 Limited direct delivery - Statutory requirements ensure that social workers are required to undertake assessments of children where there are CIN and CP concerns; and have overall responsibility for planning interventions and managing their caseloads. Consequently, social workers manage a variety of CIN, CP and LAC cases which can limit the time to deliver interventions directly, especially in regards to lower risk CIN cases.

In Cheshire East, to address this issue the Council have deployed 65 percent of its early help family support resource into supporting CIN. Consequently the delivery of interventions to this cohort is provided by Family Support Workers who, whilst providing effective direct support, by and large have little influence in the planning of interventions. The current system requires that Family Support Workers defer to the social worker who may not have the same level of knowledge of the family.

• Single practitioner working - The DfE's Rethinking Children's Social Work report (2014) observes that social workers in traditional local authority teams often work alone, managing a set of cases in which no other member of the team is directly involved. At present, time for team meetings and supervisions do not generally allow for discussion of all cases and the majority of social workers are only able to consult with their managers on deciding the best course of action for a child and their family. As such, social work teams do not have a forum to engage in critical thinking and problem solving from which they can draw support.

Submission to the DfE Children Social Care Innovation Fund – January 2015

4. Our Proposal

Catch22 and Cheshire East Council have worked in partnership to develop a new approach to the delivery of CIN services which we believe can alleviate the challenges identified above. Our proposal is that we establish a pilot in Crewe, an area of particular need in Cheshire East, to test the effectiveness of our new approach. In this section we provide a summary of:

- The changes we propose to make to the local system through our new model for CIN delivery and how these changes will address the challenges we have identified.
- The changes we propose to make to front line delivery through our new model for CIN delivery and how these changes will address the challenges we have identified
- How we will test the success of these changes through 'Project Crewe'.

Changes to the local system

1. Use of Non-Social Work Qualified Practitioners (Family Practitioners) – In Cheshire East the experience is that the family support workforce already plays a key role in CIN work and external or independent reviews comment favourably on the quality and impact of this work with families. However, it is our contention that non-social work qualified practitioners should play a much greater role in the delivery of social care services for CIN children. We believe current statutory frameworks propagate a view that only qualified social workers can assess, plan and manage the delivery of social care interventions. Instead, our model places overriding emphasis on practitioners who can evidence the relevant skills, qualities, attitudes and and experiences required to form effective, lasting relationships with children and families, with the view to effecting positive, lasting change.

'Post-Munro, we need staff who are empathetic and entrepreneurial, curious and brave, proactive, self-aware and, most importantly, focused on the needs of the children they work with' - Chris Wright, Catch22 Chief Executive

- 2. Use of 'Community Capital' We want to see the use of peer mentors in social care delivery whose role is to provide complementary support to children and families. We consider that a cohort of peer mentors matched to the demographic of the children and families we work would add a valuable layer of support, specifically with a view to facilitating the mentee's (the child and/or family) personal development, contributing to the development of their social networks and social capital, and motivating children and families to realise their goals and aspirations and sustain change.
- 3. Pod Team Structure Our model would see our Family Practitioners configured in new, multi-skilled 'Pod' Teams, as shown in Figure 2. Cases will be managed collaboratively, with each support worker holding a caseload of around 12 children/ four families with whom they will be the primary point of contact. In this way, the pod dynamic will support a collaborative approach to working and will enable workers to share experience and best practice, discuss cases and to consider how best to achieve outcomes, rather than relying solely on the statutory process.
- 4. Personalised Practitioner Training Budgets We believe that outside of the core training all practitioners are required to undertake (e.g. safeguarding training, equality and diversity, health & safety, etc.), practitioners should be given a greater degree of autonomy to determine the additional training they require. Therefore, our Pod teams will be allocated a personalised practitioner budget. This is a new approach that will enable pods to take responsibility for their continuing professional development and give them a sense of self-ownership and autonomy. Pods teams will collectively decide which members of the team will attend training courses and which courses will be attended. These decisions will be based on the needs identified within their own teams and those of the community in which they are based.

Submission to the DfE Children Social Care Innovation Fund – January 2015

Catch22



Figure 2: Our new Pod structure – Family Practitioners will hold individual caseloads, but will work collaboratively within the pods, sharing best practice and providing validation on plans for best supporting children and families.

We believe that the local system changes we are proposing will address the challenges we have identified in the following ways:

- **Statutory** The use of non-social work qualified Family Practitioners in assessing whole families, planning and delivering interventions will pose a direct challenge to current statutory interpretations. The success of this model will be used to build an evidence base to challenge current guidelines and will have implications for the future management and delivery of services to CIN.
- Resource Recruiting non-social work qualified Family Practitioners, and utilising volunteer peer mentors from within local communities, will widen the resource base available to children's social care teams. This will reduce the reliance on social workers for assessing, planning and managing CIN caseloads. Thus enabling highly qualified social workers to redirect their focus, skills and expertise on delivering quality interventions and support to CP and LAC cases.
- **Delivery-** By providing a space for practitioners to collaborate, pool expertise, share resource and meet regularly to discuss individual cases and share best practice the pod structure will prevent the 'single practitioner' working environment evident in traditional social work teams.

Testing Section 17 of the 1989 Children's Act

Within our proposal we are seeking the freedom and flexibility to innovate in respect of Section 17 regulation requirements. Specifically, we want to:

- Test the extent to which the classification of "Children in Need" below Child Protection remains relevant and productive;
- Verify that families might be better supported through a non-statutory framework;
- Enhance the extent to which suitably skilled and experienced non-statutory providers in the voluntary sector (such as Catch22) are able to support Local Authorities in delivering services to children and families, either as wholly commissioned provider or through mutually beneficial joint venture arrangements.

Submission to the DfE Children Social Care Innovation Fund – January 2015

Changes to front line delivery

 Holistic, intensive and child-centred approach to CIN delivery - Our frontline practice model will be based on a holistic, whole family approach to improving outcomes for the child. Solution Focused Brief Therapy (SFBT) training will form the foundation of practice within the service and all Pod teams will be trained in this method.

SFBT is a competency based approach founded on the premise that the best way to provide services to a child is through strengthening their family unit, instigating change where possible and necessary. Rather than concentrating on the pathology of problems within the family, interventions grounded in this approach build on assets to strengthen the family unit and permanently reduce factors contributing to concerns around risk and need. This is a verified approach that Catch22 has experience with. When piloted in the London Borough of Merton, a Catch22 team trained in SFBT received 181 referrals within a year and was successful in preventing 118 of those children from going into care.

By developing strong relationships with families our Family Practitioners will be able to identify the specific risk factors and vulnerabilities that a child, parent or other member of the family may be exposed to. Family Practitioners will work together with families to identify 'preferred futures' and seek to improve outcomes for the child; and will develop an achievable programme of support through which the family will realise these goals. Through this method interventions will target the root causes of issues, rather than focusing on alleviating symptoms evident in periods of crisis.

2. Ethnographic Research – Catch22 and Cheshire East believe that more can be done to understand the experiences of the children and families receiving social care support, and the consequences in terms of behaviours and motivations. Children and families will engage more if they feel they are listened to and that the services on offer are more attuned to and responsive to their needs and expectations. We consider this work to be essential in shaping and continually improving the model outlined in this proposal and the social care system as a whole.

We believe that the changes we are proposing to the local system will address the challenges we have identified in the following ways:

Delivery – A holistic, family based approach to delivery will ensure that interventions are as
proactive and intensive as they are required to be, and will support families to implement enduring
change. In this way, interventions will have a continuous focus not only on reducing immediate
crisis, but primarily on combatting the root causes of concerns. In this way we can tackle 'Startagain syndrome'.

Ethnographic research will ensure that CIN delivery is informed by the engagement and participation of families. Insights gained will provide a more comprehensive understanding of the children and their families within the contexts of where they live. As such, this research will inform and compliment to the changes made through family- focused assessments and interventions.

• **Statutory** - Research findings will be invaluable tools in shaping the innovation processes, in challenging existing assumptions and hypotheses, and revealing rich opportunities for the more efficient and impactful delivery of services.

In summary, our model will consist of Pod teams of non-social work qualified Family Practitioners supported by Volunteer Peer Mentors. Teams will work collaboratively and emphasis will be placed on facilitating the sharing of best practice. Pod teams will have access to personalised training budgets, through which they will be able to access additional training required to meet identified needs within teams in relation to the needs of the community. Frontline practice will be informed by ethnographic research, which will ensure the participation and engagement of local families. Family Practitioners will deliver strength based interventions, informed by SFBT principles, which will focus on permanently combatting the underlying causes of concern in CIN cases.

Project Crewe – testing our proposed changes

Our proposal is to set up a 12 month pilot within Crewe, an area of high need, to test the effectiveness of the changes we have outlined above.

Our pilot will see Catch22 implementing and delivering the following new local systems and organisational conditions on behalf of Cheshire County Council:

- Catch22 will recruit to three Pod Teams as outlined in Figure 2 (page 8), each comprising:
 - o Seven non-social work qualified family practitioners;
 - A Pod Manager;
 - o An administrator.

We will also identify a Senior Delivery Manager who will oversee the delivery of the pilot during for the 12 month period.

Indicative job descriptions and person specifications for the family practitioner role is provided as Annex C.

Our new Pods will manage a caseload of around 300 children/100 families in Crewe. This equates to around to 100 children/33 families per Pod, with 12 children/ four families per Family Practitioner and Pod Manager, who will also hold a diminished caseload.

Initially the pod caseloads will be selected from within the existing CIN caseload. We propose to work with our external evaluators to determine how we might select these cases with a view to best verifying the success of our pilot. We will then work with our key partners and with the existing Children's Social Care teams to determine how new cases will be referred in to the Pod teams through the life of the pilot period, testing the Section 17 framework as outlined on page 8.

- We will, where needs escalate within families and risk becomes apparent, not refer the family out of the service thereby changing the worker and breaking the consistency in support. Instead, we will draw down on social workers from outside of the team to become involved. Hence the family will not 'step up,' it will be a Social Worker that temporarily 'Steps down' until the needs and risks have been reduced.
- We will deliver a core package of training to our new Family Practitioners, prior to the start of the service, which will include SFBT training as the underpinning methodology upon which our Family Practitioners will base their early intensive work with CIN children and families;
- We will allocate a training budget (Personal Practitioner Training Budget) to Pods which can be used to procure additional training in line with specific needs prevalent in Crewe and evident within their caseloads
- We will unlock community capital within Crewe by establishing a cohort of peer mentors who can complement the work of our Family Practitioners and who can offer an additional level of support for families and children;
- We will commission ethnographic researchers to provide a detailed face-to-face study into the challenges and needs of families whose children are classified as CIN in the Crewe area. Research will endeavour to understand people's lives in context, revealing the full range of environmental, financial and social influences that shape behaviour. Insights will be used to inform the on-going development of the service and provide a more powerful understanding of how best to address residents' needs. The aim of this work will be to help to reframe professional's perspectives, to develop more segmented and bespoke service interventions and to test how to improve the motivation for families to positively engage with existing services to address the behaviours that contribute to the need for intervention.

Submission to the DfE Children Social Care Innovation Fund – January 2015

Roles and Responsibilities

- Catch22 will be responsible for:
 - Recruiting and managing the new teams, with staff directly employed by Catch22;
 - Implementing the new ways of working during the delivery period;
 - Holding and managing the operational budget for the pilot;
 - Generally overseeing delivery during the pilot period to ensure the highest quality standards are maintained.
- Cheshire East Council will be responsible for:
 - Providing support, advice and guidance to Catch22 during the mobilisation and delivery period, holding us to account through it's representation on the Implementation and Delivery Boards;
 - Facilitating engagement with key delivery partners and stakeholders, supporting and representing Project Crewe at integrated boards (such as the LSCB) and joint events;
 - Ensuring the new CIN service remains integrated with existing provision for children and families through the support, guidance and oversight of the Principal Social Worker and the Lead Officer for the Troubled Families Programme (Cheshire East Family Focus);
 - Contributing 'in kind' funding in the form of estates resource, in addition to direct funding to extend the pilot by three months to a total of 12 months.

That Catch22 is the principal managing agent for the pilot is a critical element of our proposal. This will validate the belief, shared by Cheshire East, that non-statutory social businesses like Catch22, who are not motivated to achieve shareholder profits from the delivery of services to children and families, have the necessary skills and expertise required to support local authorities in the delivery of social care services, thereby challenging the current statutory framework and regulations.

5. Evidence of Progress

Outcomes and benefits

We believe that our pilot will prove the following outcomes and benefits can be achieved from our new model of CIN delivery:

- A reduction in the number of children escalating from CIN to CP and/or LAC status;
- A reduction in 'Start-again Syndrome' the frequency with which cases escalate and de-escalate in and out of CIN, and between CP/LAC;
- A reduction in Social Worker caseloads, and the total caseloads of Children's Social Care teams generally;
- Significant financial savings to the local authority by increasing the cost-benefit of interventions –
 effective proactive interventions delivered by the new CIN teams will reduce the number of cases
 escalating to CP provision, which is delivered at a significantly higher unit cost. The new model will
 also reduce the frequency of re-escalations, thereby eliminating 'Start-again Syndrome' costs;
- A reduction in the use of agency practitioners, the costs for whom are higher than are for full time employees;
- Reduced Pressures on Social workers, leading to improved morale and a reduction in the turnover of social workers;
- An increased level of partner and stakeholder satisfaction as a consequence of the greater level of collaboration and engagement within our new Pod teams.

Evaluation

To in order to track our progress in achieving the outcomes identified during the pilot phase, and so that we may validate the effectiveness of our new model for CIN delivery, we will design a robust evaluation framework with an external evaluator during the implementation of our pilot.

We have discussed the evaluation of our pilot with Rees, the DfE Innovation Programme evaluation leads. In accordance with the outcomes we are aspiring to achieve and verify our evaluation framework will include a mixture of:

- Secondary data analysis using data obtained from case management systems and other existing quantitative data systems already available to Cheshire East Council;
- Qualitative interviews with children and families, key stakeholders and partners, our pod staff and with social workers in the existing Children's Social Care teams;
- Documentary evidence taken from a range of assessment, reports and audit materials produced during the pilot period;
- Value for Money analysis based on existing unit costing research and supported by the secondary data analysis obtained during the pilot period.

We will also seek to establish a comparison group with which to compare the outcomes achieved by our pilot, and this may either be comprised of cohort within Crewe itself or from a comparable area within Cheshire East such as Macclesfield, which has a similar demographic and profile of need to Crewe.

6. Making it happen

Catch22 and Cheshire East will require a three month mobilisation and implementation period prior to the commencement of the 12 month pilot. In the main this is to allow sufficient time to recruit and screen the new Family Practitioners and provide them with the core training necessary to enable them to deliver our service. Catch22 is highly experienced in mobilising operational models of a similar nature and scale to that proposed by Project Crewe. Therefore, we understand the importance of making sure the following arrangements are in place to secure the smooth mobilisation and implementation of our proposal:

• Executive support and buy-in: Our executive teams are both committed to making this proposal work. Tony Crane, Director of Children's Services for Cheshire East and Nicky Shaw, Operations Director for Catch22 have both been instrumental in the design of the proposal. They have liaised throughout with their executive leads and secured a commitment not only to the pilot, but to the vision for the new ways of working being implemented across the local authority as a new model for the delivery of CIN services, as is evidenced by the following quotes:

"Cheshire East is an improving Council in respect of our social care function, verified recently by Ofsted in our progress inspection. Over the last 18 months we have transformed our social care workforce and improved the quality of our front line practice, particularly to strengthen the "front door" arrangement and the quality and impact of our child protection service. We believe that to make the progress for our children in need we need a different approach. The potential therefore, that the innovation proposal offers us is not just to meet some of our current challenges, it will test the contribution that a more innovative approach can make in taking the Authority to where it aspires to be, which is good or outstanding." -Tony Crane, Director of Children's Services Cheshire East

"Cheshire East is committed to protecting the interests of our vulnerable residents. We are excited by this opportunity, both for the staff who work hard to deliver services to our residents and the service users through greater flexibility and fewer restrictions in the delivery of services and this proposal is therefore in keeping with the line of travel for Cheshire East Council" - Mike Suarez, Chief Executive Cheshire East Council 'Catch22 has long held the view that success is determined largely by the way things are done and by creating the right kind of environment to deliver services. This can simply be expressed as a professional's time being well spent when it's focused on building trusting and strong connections, and then using these relationships to support the service user to identify for themselves the value of positive change and, in those teachable moments where the service user wants to make changes, help them navigate how to desist from engaging in negative behaviours and begin to engage in positive ones. I believe we have developed a model with Cheshire East that embodies these principles and which will realise a positive outcomes for service users'. - Chris Wright, Chief Executive Catch22

- Engagement with Local Partners: Together Catch22 and Cheshire East Council have presented our proposal to the Cheshire East Local Safeguarding Board (LCSB), comprised of the key partner agencies involved in protecting vulnerable children in the area. We received a positive endorsement from LSCB partners, who have committed to engaging in the pilot through continued collaboration with our service and Family Practitioners. We have agreed to place the LSCB at the centre of the local governance arrangements for the implementation and onward management of this pilot, thereby securing their continued engagement. The LCSB is critical to our objective of harnessing the capacity of multi-agency working.
- Robust governance arrangements: We will establish an Implementation Board, comprising of key *Executive Sponsors* who will provide oversight, assurance and guidance and will submit regular
 reports to the LSCB to ensure the pilot achieves its stated objectives. The Implementation Board will
 become the Delivery Board following successful implementation, and will continue to monitor and
 assure delivery during the pilot period. Our proposed governance arrangements are shown
 graphically as Figure 3 (for the implementation phase) and Figure 4 (for the pilot phase).



Figure 3: *Implementation Project Structure* – We have designed a robust governance structure to oversee and assure implementation and identified key personal with the right expertise and experience for each workstream within our project plan



Figure 4: *Pilot Delivery Structure* – Our Transition Project Board will remain after service commencement as the Delivery Board providing the same oversight and assurance for the pilot period. Our Senior Manager will also report directly to the DfE and the LSCB.

- Detailed Project Plan We have developed a detailed project plan outlining the timings for key
 activities, milestones and deliverables required to successfully manage the two phases of our
 proposal, these being:
 - **Phase 1: Implementation** a three month period from 01 March 2015 to 01 June 2015 (service commencement) in which we will recruit and train the new teams and put in place the infrastructure required for delivery (i.e. ICT, estates, evaluation mechanisms, etc.)
 - **Phase 2: Pilot -** a 12 month period in which to test the effectiveness of or proposed new delivery model, and to refine the model with a view to scaling it up to new areas within Cheshire.
 - **Phase 3: Scale-up** the plans for which we will fully establish during the pilot period.

Our Project Plan is provided as Annex D. At a high level, the key milestones within our plan are as shown as Figure 5:

Submission to the DfE Children Social Care Innovation Fund – January 2015



Figure 5 – Project Crewe Timeline detailing the key milestones during each phase

• An experienced Project Team: We have already identified key Project Team members from within Catch22 and Cheshire East with the necessary skills and experience required to undertake the packages of work detailed within the project plan (Annex D). Our Project Team is detailed in Figure 3, above. 'Pen pictures' for any of the project team members identified are available upon request.

Risk Management

In developing our proposal, we have been mindful for the various risks and issues associated with the introducing a new model of CIN delivery, in respect of both the initial implementation of the model and the effective delivery of that model through the pilot period. We have developed an initial risk log for our proposal and this is provided as Annex E.

• Lack of stakeholder engagement and support for Project Crewe – There is a risk that we fail to successfully integrate Project Crewe with the current support framework for children, young people and families within Cheshire East.

To mitigate this risk we have already taken steps to ensure that our partners understand our proposal and the role they must play in contributing to its success. As part of our proposal development process we presented our plans for Project Crewe to the Cheshire East LSCB. We made it clear that our proposal is about testing a new model of delivery for CIN which *enhances* the ability for inter-agency, and that it's *not* simply about setting up another new service which sits alongside existing provision and which enables existing agencies to step away from CIN cases.

Following our presentation, the LSCB formally confirmed their approval of Project Crewe and their commitment to fully engaging with us during the implementation and pilot period. We have included the LSCB within our project governance structures in order to secure this continued engagement and support.

 Continued statutory constraints to innovation – There is a risk that our efforts to pilot our new model of CIN delivery are jeopardised by the continued application of the existing statutory framework by Ofsted. To mitigate this risk we would ask that the DfE facilitate early discussions with Ofsted during the implementation period to confirm that our intention, in line with the ambitions of the DfE Innovation Programme, so to 'do things differently, and that this must be acknowledge in the scope of future assessments and inspections.

Submission to the DfE Children Social Care Innovation Fund – January 2015

• Failure to integrate with traditional Social Care teams, specifically in relation to managing escalating risk and/or complex cases – We recognise that there may be instances where the risk and needs of a child or family escalate to such an extent that the involvement of a qualified and experienced Social Worker is necessary to ensure the safety of the child.

In part this risk will be mitigated by a key feature of our delivery model, specifically the concept that we do not simply refer escalating cases up to a Social Worker, but rather that we invite social workers down to agree a collaborative approach to dealing with the escalated risk and need. In this way we ensure that the child and family maintain their relationship with their Family Practitioner.

For this approach to work we will need to establish and maintain an extremely close link between our new CIN teams and traditional social work teams. With this in mind, Vicky Buchanan, Principal Social Worker for Cheshire East has been included as a key member of the Project Crewe implementation and delivery Board.

7. Finances

Funding request

We have calculated that the total cost for Project Crewe will be £1,809,311, which includes implementation costs over three months and operating costs for the 12 month pilot period. We are seeking £1,370,660 from the DfE to cover the implementation costs and first nine months operating costs.

As an indication of their commitment and belief in the new delivery model we have developed, Cheshire East have committed to providing an additional £438,651 in funding, including:

- £356,361 in direct cash contributions to finance the last three months of the pilot period;
- £82,290 of 'in-kind' contributions over the life of the Project Crewe.

A funding timeline is provided as Figure 6.



Figure 6: *Funding timeline* – Cheshire East Council believe in the new model we have developed for CIN delivery and will commit to funding a three month extension to the pilot period in addition to contributions in kind made for the whole pilot period.

We have provided a full budget and costs breakdown for Project Crewe as Annex F. In summary, our costs are as follows:

		Project Crewe			
High Level Plan	Transition	Pilot	Project Crewe TOTAL	Delivery Year 1	Total
Department of Education	300,676	1,069,985	1,370,660	-	1,370,660
In-Kind Contributions (Cheshire LA)	4,950	77,340	82,290	77,340	159,630
Cheshire LA Pilot	-	356,361	356,361	-	356,361
Cheshire LA On-going	-	-	-	1,425,147	1,425,147
Total Income	305,626	1,503,686	1,809,311	1,502,487	3,311,798
Staff Cost	125,761	944,870	1,070,631	944,870	2,015,501
Travel costs	-	36,700	36,700	36,700	73,400
Programme Cost	135,000	190,333	325,333	189,333	514,667
Office Cost	21,150	91,740	112,890	91,740	204,630
Other Infrastructure	3,690	45,000	48,690	45,000	93,690
Corporate Support	16,875	133,062	149,937	132,953	282,891
IT Cost	3,150	38,400	41,550	38,400	79,950
Cost In Kind	-	-	-	-	-
User Accommodation	-	-	-	-	-
Volunteer Cost	-	23,580	23,580	23,490	47,070
Directorate Overhead Recharge	-	-	_	-	-
Total Cost	305,626	1,503,686	1,809,311	1,502,487	3,311,798
Gross Margin/(Deficit)	-	-	-	-	-
Gross Margin %	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%

Table 1 – High level costs breakdown for Project Crewe

Note: Other Infrastructure & Corporate Support Costs includes costs attributable to insurance, marketing, contingency, corporate support costs and mobile telephony as detailed in the All Other Costs tab.

Value for money

In addition to achieving improved outcomes for children and families, Catch22 and Cheshire East Council are confident that our new model of CIN delivery will also achieve significant savings to the local authority. We will ensure that robust value for money analysis is included within the evaluation framework for our pilot, which will be conducted by the external evaluation partners recommended by the DfE. We have conducted some initial analysis into the extent of the financial savings our new model can achieve, specifically with regards to two of the key outcomes identified in Section 5, these being:

1. A reduction in the number of cases that escalate to CP status, for which the unit costs are significantly higher

In order to calculate the savings achievable from this outcome we have use the PSSRU report *Unit Costs of Health and Social Care 2014,* a report commissioned annually by the Department for Health and the DfE. The report provides the following unit costs in respect of children's social care delivery:

- £337 mean cost per child per week of a child supported under a Child Protection (CP) process
- £163 mean cost per child per week of a child supported under a CIN process.

In 2013/14, there were a total of 449 children managed under a CP process for an average length of 12 months at a total cost of £7,868,276.

We believe that our new model will increase the quality of CIN planning and delivery, leading to a reduction over time in the number of referrals to CP by around 10 per cent, this being equal to the

Submission to the DfE Children Social Care Innovation Fund – January 2015

number of children who escalate to CP for a second or subsequent times. For Cheshire East taken as a whole we calculate this as:

 $(44 \text{ cases } x \text{ £337 } x \text{ 52 weeks}) = \text{£771,056} - (44 \text{ cases } x \text{ £163 } x \text{ 52 weeks}) = \text{£372,944}^2$

Which, when scaled to our Project Crewe pilot equates to:

(32 cases x £337 x 52 weeks) = £560,768 - (32 cases x £163 x 52 weeks) = £271,232

2. Reduce the number of repeat referrals (re-escalation) to the CIN team (i.e. reducing 'Start-Again Syndrome), thereby avoiding the cost of delivery

In 2013/14, there were a total of 4,352 children supported at CIN level. Approximately 957 of these were repeat (second time) referrals, and this is based on the rate of referrals and from analysis of Cheshire East Council's referral records. In accordance with the PSSRU we can calculate the cost associated with managing these repeat CIN at £36,887,552 a year.

We believe that our new model for and intensive, child-centred and family focused CIN approach will significantly reduce the number of repeat referrals. Applying a conservative approach, we can calculate the saving achievable from a 25 per cent reduction for Cheshire East taken as a whole as follows:

240 cases **x** £163 **x** 52 weeks = $\pounds 2,034,240$ per annum³

Which, when scaled to project Crewe, equates to:

168 cases **x** £163 **x** 52 weeks = £1,423,968 per annum.

Our total savings calculations are provided in Table 2.

 Table 2: Projected savings calculations
 We estimate that our new model for CIN delivery will achieve savings in excess of the annual operating costs of the model.

Delivery Type	Escalations	Length	Average Cost per week	TOTAL COST
Child Managed via Child				
Protection (CP) plan	449	52	£337	£7,868,276
Child managed via Children in				
Need Arrangements	4,352	52	£163	£36,887,552

New		Avoided C	osts						
New CIN Service Outcome	Average	Cost per	TOTAL	Daliuantuna	Estimated Escalations	Average Length	Average Cost per	TOTAL COST	
	Legth	week	COST	Delivery Type	avoided	(weeks)	week	TOTAL COST	SAVING
Cheshire East	1			1	1	1			
1. Prevention in escalation to				Child managed via Child					
CP by 10%	52	£163	£372,944	Protection (CP) Plan	44	52	£337	£771,056	£398,112
2. Prevention of repeart									
referrals to CIN by 25%	0	£0	£0	Repeat referral to CIN	240	52	£163	£2,034,240	£2,034,240
				Total	annual saving:	£2,432,352			
Target Cohort (Crewe)							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
1. Prevention in escalation to				Child managed via Child					
CP by 10%	52	£163	£271,232	Protection Plan	32	52	£337	£560,768	£289,536
2. Prevention of repeart									
referrals to CIN by 25%	0	£0	£0	Repeat referral to CIN	168	52	£163	£1,423,968	£1,423,968
							Total	annual saving:	£1,713,504

² (Reduction in CP caseload x weekly cost of delivery x average length of delivery) - (reduction in CP caseload x cost of CIN delivery to affect the reduction x average length of delivery) = saving achieved

³ 25 per cent of repeat CIN cases x average cost of delivery x average length of delivery = saving achievable

Assumptions

The percentage reductions used in our cost saving calculations have been derived through discussion between Cheshire East's Children's Social Care team who have thorough understanding of levels of demand and capacity, and Catch22's experience in the outcomes that can be achieved through alternative delivery models similar to that proposed by Project Crewe.

The units costs are derived from the information provided by a Local Authorities survey in a week in 2005 and then have had an annual uplift applied to 2013-14 rates. As Cheshire East pays higher than average agency rates for social care staff it is envisaged that the overall savings would be higher.

The intention throughout the pilot would be to compare the cost of sample cases within the new service against cases undertaken by traditional social care teams. This could be completed against the average cost per week or on the average hourly unit cost of the new Family Practitioner providing support as opposed to a traditional unit cost of a Family Support worker or Social Worker.

Financial sustainability

Catch22 and Cheshire East Council consider Project Crewe as the first stage in a long term partnership and strategy to reconfigure the way CIN services are delivered across the whole local authority area. In so doing we wish to ensure there is sufficient resource within teams to deliver effective interventions across the whole spectrum of risk and need, not simply for those at the point of crisis.

We have calculated that the annual operating costs for our Project Crewe Team will be £1.5 million, with this figure reducing over time as we look to: identify economies achievable from scaling up the service across the local authority; implement process efficiencies; and, eliminate waste. Within the context of our pilot, we're clear that the annual operating costs are less than the savings achievable through our new delivery model.

Given the savings achievable, and providing the pilot provides firm evidence that these savings are on the way to being realised, Cheshire East Council will continue to fund the delivery of the new CIN model beyond the pilot period, as presented in Figure 7 (which is also included as a tab within Budget, Annex F). Catch22 and Cheshire East Council have already considered the commercial arrangements for this and are keen to explore the potential for establishing a joint venture in addition to adopting a Social Investment Bond and/or Payment by Results arrangement to further drive positive outcomes from delivery.



Figure 7: Funding Sustainability – Providing the model is successful in achieving the outcomes we predict, Cheshire East Council are commit to continuing to fund our new approach to CIN delivery.

Project Crewe – Testing a new model of CIN delivery

8. Scale and Spread.

Catch22 is currently managing a £25 million *Realising Ambition* programme on behalf of the Big Lottery Fund which is specifically designed to promote the replication of interventions proven to achieve positive outcomes for young people. We will apply the learning and experience we have gained in managing *Realising Ambition* to design a robust plan for scaling up our model across Cheshire East and for sharing our model with other local authorities across England and Wales. We will follow the same replication logic used within the *Realising Ambition* programme (presented as Figure 8), and this is as follows:

- Testing Our intention is that the evaluation framework we will design with our evaluation partners for Project Crewe will validate the success of our new CIN model in achieving quality and financial savings. We will seek to prove that not only should the model be scaled up locally in Cheshire East, but also that our model can be adopted by other local authorities nationally.
- 2. **Refine and Improve** We will ensure that we capture all of the learning arising during the Project Crewe pilot and we will use this learning to improve and refine our model. Our focus will be on securing even better outcomes for children and families. In particular, we will take every opportunity to engage with our service users to make sure that the model constantly evolves to best match their needs and expectations. In this way, we can be sure that we have developed the best possible model in advance of scaling locally and sharing with other local authorities.
- Codify the Model By developing our new model for CIN delivery, we are essentially developing a

1. Test the model works -Project Crewe
2. Refine and improve the model using lessons learned
3. Codify the model
4. Share and scale

Figure 8: Scaling Methodology– We will use the lesson learned from our Realising Ambition programme to scale and replicate our model

new practice framework for how non-Social Work qualified practitioners can best engage with children and families to address the route causes of need. Having refined the model following the pilot period, we will look to formally document our model thereby providing an invaluable resource to be shared with other local authorities and delivery partners. This will include details on the delivery structure and partnership arrangements, training and practice components, and advice and guidance on how to successfully implement the new ways of working.

- 4. Share and Scale Catch22 will actively seek to engage with other Local Authorities to share our experiences and successes in CIN delivery. In particular, Catch22 will engage with Local Authorities through the *National Care Advisory Service (NCAS)*, a leading national body managed by Catch22. NCAS is committed to promoting peer to peer support, networking and coordination between local authorities and partner organisations and actively provides opportunities to share positive practice, discuss challenges and opportunities and develop shared learning. Catch22 and Cheshire East Council are committed to openly engaging with any Local Authorities who wish to consider the implementation of our new model of CIN delivery, and with no conditions attached to that engagement.
- 5. Continually improve We will always work to continually improve our model of CIN delivery, and to continue engaging with our key partners, service users and with other Local Authorities to enhance the quality of the service we provide. In turn will also improve the quality of the outcomes we can help children and families to achieve.

<u>Annex A</u> **Project Cheshire Theory of Change Model**



Annex B Case Studies

Thurrock

Our Family Intervention Project in <u>Thurrock</u>, Essex, supports families in complex and chaotic situations. There are often many problems which can lead to negative outcomes including, but not limited to, children entering care, household evictions or family members in prison. We work assertively and positively with families on a planned programme to support and enable them to make lasting changes for the better. Of those with whom the service worked in 2012 45 per cent of families known to Social Care had their cases closed, and the 25 per cent who were on the threshold of care reduced to 0 per cent.

Wirral

At the Intensive Family Support service in the Wirral, Catch22 helps families in need of extra support, including families affected by substance dependency, domestic violence or who have been involved in antisocial behaviour. The family is allocated a dedicated Catch22 support worker who starts by assessing what they need help with. Based on this assessment, a plan is made to which the whole family agrees. The Catch22 worker then co-ordinates with other agencies to ensure the family receives support for everything they need help with. The plan is reviewed every six weeks, by Catch22, the family and the other agencies involved. We support the families for six to 12 months and follow up with each family six months after closure.

Catch22's core offer to families includes:

- Assigning a key worker to the family;
- Identifying the needs of the family;
- Working with a full range of needs, both practical and emotional;
- Agreeing a clear plan with the family and ensuring that all members stick to it;
- Identifying and working with partners, drawing in a range of expertise and referring families to other agencies where necessary;
- A flexible approach, including early morning and late night visits, responding to the needs of the family but ensuring that challenges are made.

Families have seen a 91 per cent reduction in the number of incidents of domestic violence, an 83 per cent reduction in antisocial behaviour and offending, a 67 per cent reduction in alcohol, drug and volatile substance abuse by children, and an 83 per cent improvement in children and young people's attendance and behaviour at school (Catch22, 2012).

Using the Family Savings Calculator (FSC), developed by the Department for Education, Catch22's Wirral Intensive Family Service can evidence a total saving per family of £62,003; totalling £3,038,140 across all cases, highlighting the effectiveness of utilising a robust intensive family support model.⁴

⁴ It measures the numbers of arrests, social care visits, housing enforcements, school exclusions, orders etc. prior to the intervention and compares the number to those after the intervention. It then calculates possible savings made due to the fact the family are much less likely to cause ASB or need a social care intervention for example.

<u>Annex C</u>

Family Practitioner Job Description and Person Specification - Draft

Family Practitioner

Summary of Job

To deliver targeted and intensive engagement to families with children who are identified with unmet developmental needs, through sustained intervention using whole family assessment and professional methods to effect and sustain positive change. The primary objective of this job role is promoting and protecting the welfare of children and young people to prevent an increase in unmet needs and escalation to acute and crises intervention services.

Main duties and accountabilities

- To lead the delivery of the partnerships' Children in Need service across the town of Crewe in order to prevent the escalation of prove children identified with developmental needs into Children's Social Care and to support the de-escalation of children with outstanding developmental needs exiting Children's Social Care.
- To practice within a dedicated Pod, working closely with other team members to appropriately pool
 resources, skills and ensure continuous case file management procedures, professional
 development and performance in line with the partnerships' target for achievement.
- To lead in the delivery of cases, taking on responsibility of dedicated key worker and undertaking all associated work with allocated children and their families. To assess family situations and plan, deliver and review individual programmes of support; ensuring that children and families especially those who are harder to reach, are prioritised in terms of receipt of services.
- To practice with children and families using the principle approach of Solution Focused Brief Therapy, working with children and families through group or individual solution focussed methodology to enable them to repair, and move on in their lives towards improved and sustainable outcomes.
- To record all service user information and intervention on the Liquid Logic data management system to ensure work is timely and completed to the partnerships' case management standards.
- In addition to using the principle evidence based programme of Solution Focused Brief Therapy, to draw on other forms of evidence based training and expertise to develop parents' skills and promote effective parenting.
- To work in partnership with other local agencies and services, supporting families in order to maximise resources to support them at a universal level.
- To work in partnership with children and their siblings and parents/carers, promoting their involvement and ownership in planning service delivery which meets identified needs.
- To maintain appropriate case management records and to assist in the provision of regular written case studies/reports to evidence outcomes and progress to funders and management.
- To ensure that protocols regarding child protection, confidentiality, equality of opportunity and risk management are maintained at all times;
- Where safeguarding concerns are identified, to respond appropriately by supporting the child and their family and referring the information to Children's Social Care in accordance with the requirements of the partnership's safeguarding policy and guidance, and working in collaboration with the Children's Social Care team thereafter to de-escalate the risk and need;
- To carry out a holistic family assessment of need and to agree with the family a series of outcomes to be achieved and the means by which this will be done. To track the progress of children and families against the prescribed outcomes using the outcomes star self assessment tool supported by professional assessment recorded within the case file.
- To keep personal development needs under review, keep informed of current issues and be alert to

Submission to the DfE Children Social Care Innovation Fund – January 2015

the partnership's training programme and policies.

- To safeguard the Health and Safety of all persons and premises under your control and in accordance with the guidance and provisions of Health and Safety Legislation, and Authority and Departmental Codes of Practice and Procedures.
- To work flexibly to meet the needs of children and their families. This will include a regular pattern of working in the evenings and at weekends.
- To undertake on-call duties as required by the service.

Corporate accountabilities

- To make sure that you read, are familiar with, and follow all policies and procedures.
- To act as an ambassador for the partnership, upholding and promoting our corporate values.
- To undertake any other duties, which can be reasonably expected of you, within the level of your job.

Specific requirement for the job

- This post may involve occasional travel around the UK.
- This post will involve evening and weekend work as part of a regular pattern of working to meet identified needs of children and families.

riteria	Assessment
 Ssential Qualification: There is no essential qualification for the role. Candidates who demonstrate strong motivation, a wiliness to be innovative and try a new approach to working with children and families and those who are clearly solution focused, tenacious and persistent in their work will be scored most highly. 	Application form/ Suitability Assessment/ Interview
ssential Experience:	Application form/
 A proven track record of working with creatively with children and families identified at level 2-4 on the continuum of need. 	Suitability Assessment/ Interview
 Experience of assessing family situations using a holistic family assessment tool, and develop individual packages of support and translating them into effective support plans aimed at supporting children and families. 	
 Experience of working with a range of issues affecting children and their families, such as substance misuse, domestic violence, school exclusions, offending behaviour. 	
 An understanding of key local and national policy frameworks relating to children with unmet developmental needs; 	
 Experience and understanding of effective engagement methods and techniques 	
Experience and understanding of safeguarding	
Experience of leading and delivering group work with families	
 Ability to use information technology and software programmes 	

 $Page \ 29$ Submission to the DfE Children Social Care Innovation Fund – January 2015

Desira	able Experience:	Application form/ Interview		
•	Experience of delivering effective services to children and their families using a solution focussed therapeutic approach.	Interview		
•	Experience of delivering evidence based programmes			
•	Experience of working in a small dedicated team			
Essential Knowledge and Skills				
•	An understanding of the risk factors, which may prevent children and families from achieving outcomes.	Interview		
•	Knowledge and understanding of safeguarding legislation and guidance.			
•	Able to maintain confidentiality and to share information appropriately and professionally.			
•	A knowledge and understanding of influences on parenting and parent-child relationships			
•	Ability to represent and justify a professional viewpoint based on the assessed needs of a child/young person in challenging circumstances.			
esira	able Knowledge and Skills	Application form/ Interview		
٠	Knowledge of the Crewe area and local provision within Crewe	mernew		
erso	nal Qualities	Application form/		
•	Ability to work independently as well as part of a small dedicated team to achieve shared performance targets.	Interview		
•	Creativity, and willingness to work in new and different ways to engage, support and enable improved outcomes for children.			
•	Strong implementation skills and the ability to drive changes.			
•	Ability to form positive professional relationships with children families, using language that is appropriate to the development of children, family culture and background.			
•	Effective written and verbal skills of communication to a range of audiences including children, parents and professionals.			
•	A confident individual with the ability to advocate on behalf of service users and staff including appropriately challenging others.			
•	Ability to respect the contribution of others, working with children and families, establishing positive working relationships and promoting participation in the development of programmes and services.			
•	Ability to share information in an appropriate, timely and accurate way.			
•	Able to recognise and to demonstrate an awareness of the need to ensure equality in opportunity and outcome			
peci	fic Requirements for the post	Application form/		
•	A flexible and creative approach to service delivery and development.	Suitability Assessment/		
•	Able to work regular evenings and weekends as required by the children and families needs.	Interview		
•	Willingness to undertake new training and professional development, as necessary, in order to deliver an innovative service which operates differently to traditional statutory provision.			

Annex D Project Plan

A detailed plan for Project Crewe is provided as a separate PDF document.

Catch22

Annex E

Project Cheshire Risk Log

id	Risk Description	Impact Description	Р	I	Mitigation Strategy	RR	
1	Operational – Failure to secure the support and engagement of key stakeholders and partners	Project Crewe teams unable to deliver a service integrated with the current support framework for children and young people in Cheshire East	Н	Н	Reduction – We have already secured the endorsement of the Cheshire East Local Safeguarding Children's Board, in addition to their commitment to fully support and engage in Project Crewe for the benefit of CIN children and families.	M/L	
2	Operational – Failure to integrate with traditional Children's Social Care teams	Failure to engage with qualified social workers in instances of escalated risk, meaning that the risk to the child is not managed appropriately	Μ	Н	Avoidance – The inclusion of Vicky Buchanan, Principal Social Worker on the Project Implementation and Project Delivery Boards will ensure the new CIN teams and traditional Children's Social Care teams are integrated, and that they remain so throughout the project.	M/L	
3	Operational – Continued statutory restraints to innovation	The continued application of the existing statutory framework by Ofsted means that we are unable to meaningfully test our new model of CIN delivery.	H	H	Avoidance/Transference – We ask that the DfE facilitate early discussion with Ofsted during implementation to confirm to acknowledgement that we are 'doing things differently' (in line with the objectives of the DfE Innovation Programme) and that this must be acknowledged in the scope of future assessments and inspections	Μ	Page :
4	Operational – Family Practitioner's failing to meet expected quality standards	Delivery doesn't meet the quality expectations of service users, and/or the quality standards expected by Cheshire East Council.	Μ	Н	 Reduction – Catch22 is experienced in recruiting, training and managing family practitioner type personnel Reduction – We will implement a robust quality management framework for delivery which will include regular staff supervision, inspection and audit, and continued performance oversight by the delivery board. 	L	31
5	Cultural – failure to prevent the new CIN teams adopting the existing culture of delivery during the pilot period	The new CIN model does not achieve a new and sufficiently independent identity as a result of the specific leadership, training or organisation of its structures and processes. As a result, the issues appertaining to over- bureaucratic management, problem associated practice and inefficient resourcing prevails, resulting in a failure to achieve quality outcomes.	М	Η	 Avoidance – Catch22 will assume full responsibility for delivering the new CIN services on behalf of Cheshire East, thereby securing a sufficient independence from existing structures and processes. Avoidance – Careful consideration given to the design of the case referral process during the pilot period to maintain the integrity of the test environment for the pilot in respect of resourcing Reduction – Project Board to support the project team and delivery manager in making clear to all staff and key partners (via LSCB) that the defined leadership, methodology, programme integrity and reliability of the project is key to its success in achieving better outcomes for children and families. 	L	

6	Implementation – Delay in recruiting managers and practitioners to new roles.	Results in a delay in commencing the service, which reduces the length of the pilot and the time available to evidence improved outcomes in delivery.	М	М	 Reduction – We have developed a clear implementation plan which includes timelines for the recruitment and vetting of staff and which sees these activities commencing during a pre-mobilisation period to maximise the lead time available. Reduction – Catch22's existing presence in the Cheshire area will enable us to fill many of the positions through internal recruitment and redeployment to fill any gaps following direct recruitment. 	L	
7	Implementation – DBS check delays	Staff unable to start delivering work	L	М	Avoidance – As above, practitioners will be able to commence in post with a DBS less than 12 months old whilst a new DBS is completed.	L	
8	ICT – Failure to secure an appropriate ICT system managing and recording service user engagement	New CIN teams unable to effectively record and track interactions with service users and to evidence progress in achieving positive outcomes	L	н	Avoidance – Catch22 will use Liquid Logic to manage and record casework. Catch22 already uses Liquid Logic to manage several existing contracts and is familiar with the system which is also already used by Cheshire East's Children's Social Care teams	L	
9	Operations – Interventions do not effectively engage or impact upon the de- escalation of adolescents	Positive and sustained outcomes are not achieved for children and families which help to reduce risk and increase protective factors.	L	H	Avoidance – Service Manager will have overall responsibility for interventions offered including the methods by which the social work unit initially engages with and form and develop effective working relationships with young people and their families. Catch22 will insist that, where appropriate, initial introductory appointments are facilitated by the referrers to facilitate transition in service and relationship. We will use peer mentors in the process of engagement, sharing previous case studies, programme benefits and offering practical support which runs alongside the programmes. The CIN team will operate from 8am to 8pm across the designated delivery area ensuring that the service is accessible, flexible and open when young people need it most. Methodology will be informed by family systemic therapy, and solution focussed methods which promote ownership and engagement by young people. Supervision and group case management meetings will track progress and developments ensuring programme fidelity and support.	L	Page 32
10	Operations – Service draws capacity from current teams	Consequential attrition within the Children' s Social Care Teams impacts on Cheshire East's improvement progess	М	Н	Avoidance – practitioner roles have been benchmarked against existing CEC roles to minimise financial incentive for change Reduction – Secondments from Cheshire East will be considered on a risk managed basis. See risk 6.		

Annex F Budget Plan

A detailed budget and costs breakdown for Project Crewe is provided as a separate excel document.

This page is intentionally left blank
1 Ta	isk Name		Duration	Start	Finish	21 December 11 February 01 April 21 May 11 July 01 September 21 October 11 December 01 February 21 March 11 May 08/12 29/12 19/01 09/02 02/03 23/03 13/04 04/05 25/05 15/06 06/07 27/07 17/08 07/09 28/09 19/10 09/11 30/11 21/12 11/01 01/02 22/02 14/03 04/04 25/04 16/05	01 July 06/06 27/06	
² C	OfE Innovation Fu	und: Project Crewe - Testing N delivery	345 days	Tue 20/01/15	Wed 01/06/16			
3			345 days	Tue 20/01/15	Wed 01/06/16			
	KEY DATES							
5	DfE Innovation Fund Pr		0 days 0 days	Tue 20/01/15 Thu 05/02/15	Tue 20/01/15 Thu 05/02/15	♦-20/01		
7	Presentation to DfE Inn Fund award decision	iovation Boara	0 days	Wed 11/02/15	Wed 11/02/15	◆ ₁ 1/02		
8	Pre-implementation (m	nobilisation)	12 days	Wed 11/02/15	Fri 27/02/15			
11	PHASE 1 - IMPLEMENT		60 days	Mon 02/03/15	Fri 29/05/15			
14	Service 'Go-Live'		0 days	Mon 01/06/15	Mon 01/06/15			
15	PHASE 2 - PILOT PERIO	D	253 days	Tue 02/06/15	Tue 31/05/16			
16	Delivery period funde	ed principally by DfE	191 days	Tue 02/06/15	Mon 29/02/16			
17	Delivery period funde	ed prinicpally by Cheshire East	62 days	Tue 01/03/16	Tue 31/05/16			
18	PHASE 3 - COMMENCE	SCALE-UP	0 days	Wed 01/06/16	Wed 01/06/16		1/06	
19 20	GOVERNANCE		340 days	Mon 26/01/15	Tue 31/05/16			
21	Implementation Gover	2200	86 days	Mon 26/01/15	Fri 29/05/15			
22	Project Management		86 days	Mon 26/01/15	Fri 29/05/15			
23		• roject Manager Appointed (Ben Edgington)	0 days	Mon 26/01/15	Mon 26/01/15			
24		ect control established	25 days	Mon 26/01/15	Fri 27/02/15			
25	Workstreams fir	nalised	25 days	Mon 26/01/15	Fri 27/02/15			
26	Resource sched	ule & implementation budget finalised	25 days	Mon 26/01/15	Fri 27/02/15			
27	Project team ro	les & responsibilities finalised	25 days	Mon 26/01/15	Fri 27/02/15			
28	Detailed implem	nentation plan produced	25 days	Mon 26/01/15	Fri 27/02/15			
29	Risk manageme	nt arrangements confirmed	25 days	Mon 26/01/15	Fri 27/02/15			
30		ent arrangments confirmed	61 days	Mon 02/03/15	Fri 29/05/15			(
31		mplementation Board	61 days	Mon 02/03/15	Fri 29/05/15			
32	Run reports to D		61 days	Mon 02/03/15 Mon 02/03/15	Fri 29/05/15			
33	Implementation Boa		61 days	Mon 02/03/15 Mon 02/03/15	Fri 29/05/15 Fri 06/03/15			
34 35	Confirm Implemen		0 days	Fri 06/03/15	Fri 06/03/15			
36	Run Implementatio	nplementation Board	61 days	Mon 02/03/15	Fri 29/05/15			
37	Project Team	on board (wonany)	86 days	Mon 26/01/15	Fri 29/05/15			
38	Confirm Project Te	am composition	1 day	Mon 26/01/15	Mon 26/01/15			
39	Project Kick off me		1 day	Mon 26/01/15	Mon 26/01/15			
40	Run Project Team		86 days	Mon 26/01/15	Fri 29/05/15			
41	Run Project Contro	bls	61 days	Mon 02/03/15	Fri 29/05/15			
42	Operational Governance	ce (BAU / Pilot Period)	255 days	Fri 29/05/15	Tue 31/05/16			
43	Delivery Board		255 days	Fri 29/05/15	Tue 31/05/16			
44		ce of (Implementation) Board arrangements	1 day	Fri 29/05/15	Fri 29/05/15			
45	Run Delivery Board	•	254 days	Mon 01/06/15	Tue 31/05/16			
46	Comms & Stakeholder		339 days	Tue 27/01/15	Tue 31/05/16			
47	Confirm key stakehol		10 days	Tue 27/01/15	Mon 09/02/15			
48		holder engagement strategy	24 days	Tue 27/01/15	Fri 27/02/15			
49 50	-	ey partners (pending DfE approval)	1 day 2 wks	Thu 19/02/15 Thu 14/05/15	Thu 19/02/15 Fri 29/05/15			
50	Run comms updates	cations to partners (Launch-ready check-ins)	2 wks 315 days		.,, .			
52	Local Safeguarding C		339 days	Tue 27/01/15	Tue 31/05/16			
53		arrangements to LSCB	4 wks	Tue 27/01/15				
54	Run Reports to the		319 days	Tue 24/02/15	Tue 31/05/16			
55	Post-pilot preperation		50 days	Mon 14/03/16	Wed 25/05/16			
56	Confirm intentions for	or full roll-out	4 wks	Mon 14/03/16	Tue 12/04/16			
train -t	Droject Dian for Chechire	ïask Sumn			External N			1
	e 20/01/15	plit Proje			Inactive Tage			
		Ailestone 🔶 Extern				lestone 🔷 Duration-only Start-only 🕻 Progress		1

ID 1	Fask Name	Duration	Start	Finish)1 July
57	Design and mobilise for roll out programme	5 wks	Wed 13/04/16	Wed 18/05/16	08/12 29/12 19/01 09/02 02/03 23/03 13/04 04/05 25/05 15/06 06/07 27/07 17/08 07/09 28/09 19/10 09/11 30/11 21/12 11/01 01/02 22/02 14/03 04/04 25/04 16/05 06/06 2	7/06
58		1 wk		Wed 25/05/16		
59	Catchizz)					
60		339 days	Mon 26/01/15	Tue 31/05/16		
	HUMAN RESOURCES			Mon 26/01/15		
61 62	Project Team HRM Lead appointed (Peter Finch, C22)	0 days 63 days		Mon 27/04/15		
63	Recruitment - Pod Teams Develop role JD's and Person Specifications	4 days		Fri 30/01/15		
64	Confirm secondment policy application (Local Authority)	4 days		Fri 30/01/15		
65	Advertise Vacancies	10 days		Fri 13/02/15		
66	Crewe Chronicle	10 days	Mon 02/02/15	Fri 13/02/15		
67	Cheshire Independent	10 days	Mon 02/02/15	Fri 13/02/15		
68	Shortlist Applicants	1 wk	Mon 16/02/15	Fri 20/02/15		
69	Interview and test candidates	2 wks	Mon 23/02/15	Fri 06/03/15		
70	Candidate selection	1 wk	Mon 09/03/15	Fri 13/03/15		
71	Enhanced DBS - screening & vetting	2 wks	Mon 16/03/15	Fri 27/03/15		
72	Confirm Final Appointments	1 wk		Fri 13/03/15		
73	Notice Period for new starters	5.6 wks		Fri 24/04/15		
74	New starters in post	0 days		Mon 27/04/15		
75	Induction, Training & Continual Professional Development	305 days		Tue 31/05/16		
76	Schedule induction and initial training	12 days		Fri 10/04/15		
77 78	Induction and initial training delivered	5 days 1 day		Fri 01/05/15 Mon 27/04/15		
78	Initial induction - welcome, vision & values (C22 and LA's)	0.5 days		Tue 28/04/15		
80	Equality & Diversity training Information Security & Data Protection training	0.5 days		Tue 28/04/15		
81	Safeguarding training	1.5 days		Thu 30/04/15		
82	Liquid Logic user training	1.5 days		Fri 01/05/15		
83	Solution Focused Brief Therapy training (practioners only)	12 days	Tue 05/05/15	Wed 20/05/15		
84	Administration Specific Training	5 days	Thu 14/05/15	Wed 20/05/15		
85	Establish Personal Practitioner Training Budget	52 days	Mon 16/03/15	Mon 01/06/15		
86	Indicative training options identified	4.6 wks	Mon 16/03/15	Fri 17/04/15		
87	Protocols for accessing Personal Practitioner Training Budgets confirmed and codified	6 wks	Mon 30/03/15	Wed 13/05/15		
88	Individual training records established	2 wks	Mon 16/03/15	Fri 27/03/15		
89	Personalised Practitioner Training Budget go-live	0 days	Mon 01/06/15	Mon 01/06/15	₩_01/06	
90	Supervision & support arrangements	276 days	Tue 28/04/15	Tue 31/05/16		
91	Catch22 'HouRs' profiles created (online HRM system for booking leave, absence management, reviewing payslips, etc)	10 days	Tue 28/04/15	Tue 12/05/15		
92	Catch22 'Connected' Profiles created (intranet information and support resource)	10 days	Tue 28/04/15	Tue 12/05/15		
93	Line management arrangements confirmed	18 days	Tue 28/04/15	Fri 22/05/15		
94	Run Monthly Supervision (all staff)	254 days	Mon 01/06/15	Tue 31/05/16		
95	Peer Mentor Provision	81 days	Tue 27/01/15	Fri 22/05/15		
96	Develop peer mentor role profile	4 days	Tue 27/01/15	Fri 30/01/15		
97	Advertise for Peer Mentors	7.6 wks	Mon 16/02/15	Fri 10/04/15		
98	Interviews and screening	2 wks		Fri 24/04/15		
99	Finalise Peer Mentor training programme - Volunteers Supporting Families (accredited)	2 wks	Thu 26/03/15	Mon 13/04/15		
100	Deliver Peer Mentor training	3.8 wks		Fri 22/05/15		
101	Peer mentor cohort established	0 days	Fri 22/05/15	Fri 22/05/15	•#22/95	
102						
103	FINANCE	339 days	Mon 26/01/15	Tue 31/05/16		
104	Project Team Finance Lead appointed (Chris Starr, C22)	0 days	Mon 26/01/15			
105	Implementation Finance Management	73 days	Thu 12/02/15	Fri 29/05/15		
Project: Date: Ti	Project Plan for Cheshire are 20/01/15 Split Project Milestone Extern		.	External M Inactive T Inactive N	Task Manual Task Manual Summary Deadline	

Page 36

	ID Task Na	ame	Duration	Start	Finish	21 Decemb		11 February 01		21 May	11 July	01 9	September	21 October	11 Dec	ember	01 February	21 March	y .	01 July
	106	Implementation budget finalised	12 days	Thu 12/02/15	Fri 27/02/15	08/12 29/12				25/05 15	/06 06/07 27	7/07 17/08	07/09 28/09	19/10 09/	11 30/11 2	1/12 11/01	01/02 22/02		/05 06/06 2	
								·												
	110	Establish cost codes and financial reports (configure reporting	3 wks	Mon 16/03/15	Tue 07/04/15				ו ן											
	111	Complete payroll prep for new starters	5 days	Mon 16/03/15	Fri 20/03/15															
			3 wks	Mon 16/03/15	Tue 07/04/15				JIII											
			3 wks	Wed 29/04/15	Wed 20/05/15															
11 Monoral information Non-200 Non-200 12 Monoral information Non-200 Non-200 13 Monoral information Non-200 Non-200 14 Monoral information Non-200 Non-200 13 Monoral information Non-200 Non-200 14 Monoral information Non-200 Non-200 15 Monoral information Non-200 Non-200 16 Monoral information Non-200 Non-200 17 Monoral information Non-200 Non-200 18 Monoral information Non-200 Non-200 19 Monoral information Non-200 Non-200 10 Monoral information Non-200 Non-200 10 Monoral information Non-200 Non-200 10			1 wk	Mon 18/05/15	Fri 22/05/15				. L.											
Image: Second			37 days	Mon 16/03/15	Fri 08/05/15															
Image: Second Second Label Second Second Label Second S			4.8 wks	Mon 16/03/15	Mon 20/04/15			*												
			2.6 wks	Tue 21/04/15	Fri 08/05/15				-											
	118 Pe	Personal Practitioner Training Budget	18 days	Tue 05/05/15	Fri 29/05/15					┿╋┯║										
	119	Establish Personal Practitioner Training Budget accounting	3.6 wks	Tue 05/05/15	Fri 29/05/15					╺╼┥										
	120 <u>Pe</u>	ersonalised Service User Budget	18 days	Wed 03/06/15	Fri 26/06/15					 	•									
			t, 3.6 wks	Wed 03/06/15	Fri 26/06/15						┫									
10 Page and construct and const	122 <u>Co</u>	Commercial Development (Post-pilot preperation)	129 days	Tue 24/11/15	Tue 31/05/16										♥					
	123	SPV and SIB options analysis	6 wks	Tue 24/11/15	Thu 07/01/16	1										-				
	124	Prepare commercial arrangements for post-pilot delivery	99 days	Fri 08/01/16	Tue 31/05/16											*				
	125					1														
	0.1						₩												25	
Image Sugge Sugge <th< td=""><td>su</td><td>supported by Jonathan Potter, Cheshire East)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	su	supported by Jonathan Potter, Cheshire East)																		
101 Prod Laffing index C finding conglets. A office governadio 44.40 Nu 200/20 101 Prod Laffing index C finding conglets. A office governadio 44.40 Nu 200/20 102 Prod Laffing conglets. A office governadio 44.40 Nu 200/20 44.90 101 Prod Laffing conglets. A office governadio 44.40 Nu 200/20 44.90 103 Prod Laffing conglets. A office governadio 44.40 Nu 200/20 44.90 103 Prod Laffing conglets. A office governadio 44.40 Nu 200/20 44.90 104 Scoper, identification for conglets. Confirmed 44.40 Nu 200/20 46.90 104 Prod Laffing to conglets. Confirmed Conglets. Confirmed 44.40 Nu 200/20 46.90 103 Prod Laffing to conglets. Confirmed Conglets. Confirmed 44.40 Nu 200/20 46.90 104 Prod Laffing to conglets. Confirmed Conglets. Confirmed 44.40 49.40 49.40 104 Prod Laffing to confirmed Conglets. Confirmed C									¥											
11 1 supet other case law handware pred (finalization) 10 with 100 minutes (finalizat										2021/05										
131 Partiations commence delivery 0 arg <																				
131 Personalised Series Loss Hodgets 156 wp 66 wp										1/06	_									
141 Scopie destification of local provision 0.2 + W W.W.W.W.W.W.W.W.W.W.W.W.W.W.W.W.W.W.W.																				
135 Provider call of register established i jaggroued provider dilligence 14 al. 40.10/10/15 / 100/00/15 136 SU bodget acces provider signements confirmed 18 al. 40.10/10/15 / 100/00/15 137 SU bodget acces provider signements confirmed 18 al. 40.10/10/15 / 100/00/15 138 Practitioner brefligs 14 al. 40.10/10/15 / 100/00/15 139 Practitioner brefligs 14 al. 40.10/10/15 / 100/00/15 140 Peer Mentor Proteining 14 al. 40.10/10/15 / 100/00/15 141 Vulniter Conditation in pol 0.40.40.20/15 / 100/00/15 142 Peer Mentor Proteining concoller confirmed 46.40.40.20/15 / 100/00/15 143 Peer Mentor Proteining concoller confirmed 46.40.40.20/15 / 100/00/15 144 Peer Mentor Proteining concoller confirmed 46.40.40.20/15 / 100/00/15 145 Peer Mentor proteining concoller confirmed 46.40.40.20/15 / 100/00/15 146 Collider historize proteining confirmed 45.40.40.20/15 / 100/00/15 147 Information exchange procedures confirmed 45.40.40.40.20/15 / 100/00/15 148 Socielar historize proteining for coase to register histol historize proteining for coase to register histol historize proteining for coase to register histol historize proteining for coase to register historize historize hi		-							ь											
100/10 SU budget case recording arrangements confirmed 18 4% Mu 1/00/23 MU00/34 117 SU budget case recording arrangements confirmed 18 4% Mu 1/00/23 MU00/34 118 Personalised Service User Budget go lew 04 Mu 1/00/23 MU00/34 MU00/34 118 Personalised Service User Budget go lew 04 Mu 1/00/23 MU00/34 MU00/34 119 Personalised Service User Budget go lew 04 Mu 1/00/34 Mu02/04/34 Mu02/04/34 114 Volunter Coording arrangements confirmed 74 Mu 1/00/34 Mu02/04/34 Mu02/04/34 114 Personalised Service User Budget go lews 04 Mu 1/00/34 Mu02/04/34 Mu02/04/34 114 Personalised Service Sconfirmed 74 Mu 1/00/34 Mu02/04/34 Mu02/04/34 115 Defendents comment- Goldborzber sconfirmed 84 Mu02/04/34 Mu02/04/34 Mu02/04/34 116 Oulder's Scolf Canter/sconfirmed mice Mung group Cance Instander 184 Mu02/04/34 Mu02/04/34 Mu02/04/34 117 Informance Mung group Cance Instander 184 Mu02/04/34 Mu02/04/34 Mu02/04/34 118 Oulder's Scolf Canter/scole Instand Confirmed 184 Mu02/04/3					Fri 08/05/15															
137 SU budget case recording arrangements confirmed 38 wb Moi 10/00/15 Price Moint 100 Moi 15/00/15 Mo																				
100/10 Protectioner finetings 1 4k Mon 300/03 Nano 200/03 113 Perconsidied Service User Budget go 4ue 0 4ue Mon 200/03 Mon 200/03 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>																				
139Percendited Service Use Budget go-Ne0 HaNon-200(x15 Mon 200(x15)140Peer Mentor Provision21 dayMon 200(x15)141Volume Coordination in post0 dayMon 200(x15)142Peer Mentor reaching protocols confirmed2 dayMon 200(x15)143Peer Mentor reaching cost recording arrangements confirmed2 dayMon 200(x15)144Peer Mentor reaching cost recording arrangements confirmed4 dayTraz 86(x15)145Peer Mentor solution excharge procedures confirmed and collect13 sdwMon 200(x15)146Oblicitor Solution excharge procedures confirmed and collect13 sdwMon 200(x15)147Information excharge procedures confirmed and collect15 sdwMon 200(x15)148Referais procedure determined for cases to registre initial colorit15 sdwMon 200(x15)149Referais procedure and Performance Management15 sdwMon 200(x15)150Run quarterly inerface review meetings (review & improve)27 dayrevisitoritic151Quality Assuriace and Performance Management14 dayTrae 30(x15)152VersitoriticSimmaryVersitoritic153SimmarySimmaryVersitoritic154SimmarySimmaryMonziloritic154SimmaryVersitoritic154SimmaryVersitoritic154SimmaryVersitoritic154SimmaryVersitoritic154SimmaryVersitoritic154Sim			1 wk	Mon 15/06/15	Fri 19/06/15															
14 Voluntee Coordination in post 0 days Nee 270(4)15 Nee 270(4)15 142 Peer Mentor matching protocols continmed 7 day We320(6)15 in 280(6)5 143 Peer Mentor matching scatter conding arrangements confirmed 6 day Nee 280(6)15 in 280(6)15 144 Peer Mentor sostification arrangements confirmed 6 day Nee 280(6)15 in 280(6)15 145 Peer Mentor sostification arrangements confirmed 6 day Nee 280(6)15 in 280(6)15 146 Chiffernation contracted livery 0 day Nee 280(6)15 in 610(6)15 147 Information exclure procedures confirmed 6 day Nee 280(6)15 in 610(6)15 148 Referais procedure determined (for cases tor replace initial cohto 8 day Nee 280(7)15 in 610(6)15 151 Quality Assumance and Performance Management 14 day to 150(5)15 nei 040(5)15 nei 040(5)15 152 Rule and the formance Management 14 day to 150(5)15 nei 040(5)15 nei 040(5)15 153 Quality Assumance and Performance Management 14 day to 150(5)15 nei 040(5)15 nei 040(5)15 154 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0 days</td><td>Mon 29/06/15</td><td>Mon 29/06/15</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>29/06</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>			0 days	Mon 29/06/15	Mon 29/06/15						29/06									
141 Volunter Coordinator in post 0 499 Mon2 2/0413 Mon2 2/0413 142 Per Mentor matching protocols confirmed 7 499 Wed 2005/15 71 20/05/15 143 Perer Mentor reporting/accentroding arguments confirmed and coding 14.4 Perer Mentor reporting/accentroding arguments confirmed and coding 14.4 Perer Mentor solution 8 494 Tue 2007/15 17 20/05/15 144 Perer Mentor solution 8 494 Tue 2007/15 18.10/05/15 18.10/05/15 19.10/05/15 145 Obditaria Confirmed 8 494 Tue 2007/15 18.10/05/15 19.10/05/15 19.10/05/15 146 Children Collaborative approach to managing escalated risk confirmed 8 494 Mon02/01/15 101/05/15 147 Information exclose mething (review & Improve) 27.24 Mon02/01/15 101/05/15 150 Quality Assurance and Performance Management 31.44 Tue 20/05/15 18.31/05/15 151 Quality Assurance and Performance Management 31.44 Tue 20/05/15 18.31/05/16 152 Fullowing Set solution Set solution Set solution Set solution Set solution Set solution Se			23 days	Mon 27/04/15	Mon 01/06/15					-#+										
143 Peer Mentor reporting/case recording arrangements confirmed 7 days Wed 2005/15 Fi 23005/15 144 Peer mentors assigned to Pods 4 days Tue 2305/15 Fi 23005/15 145 Peer Mentor commence delivery 4 days Wed 2005/15 Fi 23005/15 145 Peer Mentors Scalar dare Interface Arrangements confirmed 4 days Wed 2005/15 Fi 23005/15 146 Outcome Scalar dare Interface Arrangements confirmed 8.5 wks Mon 02/03/15 Fi 03/05/15 147 Information exchange procedures confirmed 8.5 wks Mon 02/03/15 Fi 03/05/15 148 Escalation management - Collaborative apprach to managing 8.5 wks Mon 02/03/15 Fi 03/05/15 149 Referals procedure determined (for cases to replace initial cohort) 2.5 wks Mon 02/03/15 Fi 03/05/15 151 Quality Assurance and Performance Management 314 days Tue 33/05/16 Tue 33/05/16 152 Evaluation Summary External Milestone Manual Task Manual Task Manual Summary Rolup Finlib-only 1 Project: Pinn for Cheshire Split Project Simmary External Milestone Duration-only </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>0 days</td> <td>Mon 27/04/15</td> <td>Mon 27/04/15</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>27/04</td> <td></td>			0 days	Mon 27/04/15	Mon 27/04/15				27/04											
144 Peer Mentors assigned to Pods 4 days Tue 20/05/15 fri 20/05/15 145 Peer Mentors commence delivery 0 days Mon 01/06/15 Mon 01/06/15 146 Children's Social Care Interface Arrangements confirmed and codilleg 3.4 day Mon 02/03/15 fri 01/05/15 147 Information exchange procedures confirmed 8.5 w/s Mon 02/03/15 fri 01/05/15 148 Escalation management - Collaborative appraoch to managing 8.5 w/s Mon 02/03/15 fri 01/05/15 149 Referais procedure softermined (for cases to replace initial cohort 8.5 w/s Mon 02/03/15 fri 01/05/15 150 Run quarter/ly inerface review meetings (review & improve) 272 days fri 01/05/15 Tue 31/05/16 152 Evaluation 314 days tue 80/05/15 Tue 31/05/16 tue 31/05/16 152 Evaluation 314 days tue 80/05/15 Tue 31/05/16 tue 80/05/15 Tue 31/05/16 152 Evaluation Summary Tue 80/05/15 Tue 31/05/16 tue 80/05/15 Tue 31/05/16 tue 80/05/15 mon 02/05/15 152 Evaluation Task Sumary Sumary Tue 81/05/16	142	Peer Mentor matching protocols confirmed	7 days	Wed 20/05/15	Fri 29/05/15				h	⊑#										
143 Peer Mentors commence delivery 0 days Mon 02/08/15			7 days	Wed 20/05/15	Fri 29/05/15					➡										
130 Clearning social care interface Arangements confirmed and codified 31.4 stary. Mon 02/03/15 int 03.05/15 int 03	144	Peer mentors assigned to Pods	4 days	Tue 26/05/15	Fri 29/05/15	1				*										
147 Information exchange procedures confirmed 8.5 w/s Mon 02/03/15 ri 01/05/15 148 Escalation management - Collaborative appraoch to managing 8.5 w/s Mon 02/03/15 ri 01/05/15 149 Referals procedure determined (for cases to replace initial cohort 8.5 w/s Mon 02/03/15 ri 01/05/15 150 Run quarterly inerface review meetings (review & kimprove) 222 days ri 01/05/15 tu 03/05/16 151 Quality Assurance and Performance Management 314 days tue 03/03/15 tu 03/05/16 152 Evaluation 144 days tue 03/03/15 tue 03/05/16 152 Evaluation 144 days tue 03/03/15 tue 03/05/16 152 Evaluation 144 days tue 03/03/15 tue 31/05/16 152 Evaluation 144 days tue 03/03/15 tue 31/05/16 153 Quality Assurance and Performance Management 314 days tue 03/03/15 tue 31/05/16 154 Split Finital cohont Split Finital cohont Manual Summary 155 Split Finital cohont Split Finitalicetone Manual Task Manual Task <td>145</td> <td>Peer Mentors commence delivery</td> <td>0 days</td> <td>Mon 01/06/15</td> <td>Mon 01/06/15</td> <td>1 </td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$ 01/06</td> <td></td>	145	Peer Mentors commence delivery	0 days	Mon 01/06/15	Mon 01/06/15	1				\$ 01/06										
148 Escalation management - Collaborative approach to managing escalated risk confirmed 85 wks Mon 02/03/15 Fri 01/05/15 149 Referals procedure determined (for cases to replace initial cohort escales or replace escales or replace initial cohort escales or replace escales	146 <u>Ct</u>	hildren's Social Care Interface Arrangements confirmed and codifie	d 314.5 days	Mon 02/03/15	Tue 31/05/16	1		€												
isolated risk confirmed 149 Referals procedure determined (for cases to replace initial cohort 150 Run quarterly inerface review meetings (review & Improve) 121 122 Evaluation 133 134 134 135 136 137 138 139 139 130 130 131 132 133 134 134 134 134 135 136 137 138 139 139 130 130 131 131 132 133 134 134 134 139 131 132 133 134 134 134 134 135 136 137 138 139 139 139 130 131 131 132 133 134 134 134 135 136 136 137 138 139 139 139 139 139 139 139 139 139 139	147	Information exchange procedures confirmed	8.5 wks	Mon 02/03/15	Fri 01/05/15			++												
cases once stepped down) cases once stepped down) 272 days Fri 01/05/15 Tue 31/05/15 Tue 31/05/16 Tue 31/	148		8.5 wks	Mon 02/03/15	Fri 01/05/15															
131 Quality Assurance and Performance Management 314 days Tue 03/03/15 Tue 03/0	149		8.5 wks	Mon 02/03/15	Fri 01/05/15			++												
151 Quality Assurance and Performance Management 314 days Tue 03/03/15 Tue 03/0	150	Run quarterly inerface review meetings (review & improve)	272 days	Fri 01/05/15	Tue 31/05/16	1			📥										■	
Project: Project Plan for Cheshire Task Summary External Milestone Inactive Summary Manual Summary Rollup Finish-only Inactive Summary Finish-only Inactive Summary Inact	151 <u>Q</u>	Juality Assurance and Performance Management	314 days	Tue 03/03/15	Tue 31/05/16			+												
Project: Plan for Cheshire Date: Tue 20/01/15 Split Project Summary Inactive Task Manual Task Manual Summary Deadline Image: Split Kilestone External Tasks Inactive Milestone Duration-only Start-only Progress Image: Start-only Progress	152	Evaluation	314 days	Tue 03/03/15	Tue 31/05/16										*************				 	
Date: Tue 20/01/15 Spint Fright solution Fright solution Fright solution Manual rask Manual rask Manual rask Dealine Milestone External Tasks Inactive Milestone Duration-only Start-only Progress			nary		External N	1ilestone 🔶		Inactive Summary	Q		Summary Rollup 😑		Finish-only	3						\neg
Milestone	Project: Projec Date: Tue 20/0	ct Plan for Cheshire Split Projer	t Summary	P	Inactive Ta	isk 🗆		Manual Task	C	Manual	Summary 🛡		Deadline	+						
	55te. Tue 20/0	01/15			Inactive M	ilestone \diamond		Duration-only		Start-on	y C		Progress	_						
		I							Page 3											\neg

Page 37

ID Ta	ask Name		Duration	Start	Finish	21 Decemb	er 11	February	11 April	21 May 11 Ju	ly 01 Se	ptember 21	October	11 December	01 February	21 March 11	May	01 July
153		ner confirmed following consultation with DfE	9 days	Tue 03/03/15		08/12 29/12	19/01 09/	/02 02/03 23/	03 13/04 04/05	25/05 15/06 06/07	27/07 17/08 0	7/09 28/09 19/	10 09/11 30/	11 21/12 11/0:	1 01/02 22/02 1		16/05 06/06	27/06
	evaluation lead	s (Rees)						\square										
154			4.8 wks	Mon 16/03/15	Mon 20/04/15			4										
155			0 days	Mon 20/04/15	Mon 20/04/15				20/04									
156			18 days	Tue 21/04/15	Fri 15/05/15				A									
157			18 days	Tue 21/04/15	Fri 15/05/15													
158			18 days	Tue 21/04/15	Fri 15/05/15													
159 160			18 days	Tue 21/04/15	Fri 15/05/15													
160			3.6 wks	Tue 21/04/15	Fri 15/05/15 Fri 15/05/15													
161	Quantitative M		18 days 18 days	Tue 21/04/15 Tue 21/04/15	Fri 15/05/15													
162			18 days	Tue 21/04/15	Fri 15/05/15													
	cost avoidan	re)							T									
164			254 days	Mon 01/06/15	Tue 31/05/16					1								
165	Pilot Close - Pre		3 wks	Tue 10/05/16	Tue 31/05/16												 P	
166	Quality Managem		253 days	Tue 02/06/15	Tue 31/05/16													
167	Run Pod Team I sharing)	Neetings (Collaborative Case Reviews, best practic	CE 253 days	Tue 02/06/15	Tue 31/05/16					*								
168	Principle Social (monthly)	Worker - quality and performance reviews	253 days	Tue 02/06/15	Tue 31/05/16					 								
169	Audit & inspection	1	20 days	Mon 01/06/15	Fri 26/06/15													
170		n scope for pilot period with Ofsted - facilitated by		Mon 01/06/15	Fri 26/06/15					- t								
	DfE																	
171	Agree internal i unplanned)	nspection terms of reference (planned &	4 wks	Mon 01/06/15	Fri 26/06/15													
172																		
173	ESTATES & ICT		339 days	Tue 27/01/15	Tue 31/05/16													
174	Project Team Estates	& ICT Lead confirmed (Jon Davenport, Catch22 eri Skinner, Catch22)	1 day	Tue 27/01/15	Tue 27/01/15		F											
175	Office Space		335 days	Mon 02/02/15	Tue 31/05/16													
175	Office / office space		6 wks	Mon 02/02/15	Fri 13/03/15		Ψ.										Ĩ.	
177			4 wks	Mon 16/03/15	Tue 14/04/15													
178	Insurance coverag		4 wks	Mon 16/03/15	Tue 14/04/15			,										
179	-		2 wks	Mon 30/03/15	Tue 14/04/15													
180	Office Space secur		0 days	Tue 05/05/15	Tue 05/05/15				♦ 05/05									
181			5 wks	Wed 15/04/15	Wed 20/05/15				_ t									
182	Office risk assessn		6 days	Thu 21/05/15	Fri 29/05/15				-i									
183	Confirm local H&S	arrangements	6 days	Thu 21/05/15	Fri 29/05/15				×	• 1								
184	Run local H&S arra	ngements	254 days	Mon 01/06/15	Tue 31/05/16					†								
185	ICT		41 days	Mon 30/03/15	Fri 29/05/15				+	-								
186	ICT equipment rec	uirements confirmed (incl. telephony)	2 wks	Mon 30/03/15	Tue 14/04/15				-									
187	ICT equipment pro	cured (hardware & software)	5 wks	Wed 15/04/15	Wed 20/05/15				ч *									
188	Systems configura	tion & installation	2 wks	Thu 07/05/15	Wed 20/05/15				r 	6 								
189	ICT support arrang	ements confirmed	2 wks	Thu 07/05/15	Wed 20/05/15				ь	1								
190	Staff DSE assessm	ent conducted	5 days	Fri 22/05/15	Fri 29/05/15				, C	-								
191	Data Protection &	Information Security Risk Assessment	5 days	Fri 22/05/15	Fri 29/05/15				L.	•								
192																		
193								L.										
	Pre-implementation co	1	0 days	Fri 27/02/15	Fri 27/02/15			♦ €27/02										
195	Implementation comple	,	0 days	Mon 01/06/15						▶ 01/06								
190	Funded pilot period end		0 days	Tue 31/05/16	100 21/02/10												4 81/05	
		Task Summa	ary		External Mile	stone 🔶		Inactive Summary	Q	Manual Summary Rol	up	Finish-only	3					
Project: Date: Tu	Project Plan for Cheshire e 20/01/15	Split Project	Summary	V	Inactive Task			Manual Task		Manual Summary	¥¥	Deadline	÷					
	-	Milestone External	al Tasks		Inactive Mile	stone 🔶		Duration-only	1	Start-only	C	Progress						

Page 38

Department of Education Innovation Fund Plan Cheshire LA Proposal

High Level Plan	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Delivery Year 1	Total	
Department of Education	1,131,902	238,758	-	1,131,902	1,370,660	
In-Kind Contributions (Cheshire LA)	-	77,340	88,735	-	166,075	
Cheshire LA Pilot	118,886	237,475	-	118,886	356,361	
Cheshire LA On-going	-	1,187,672	356,262	-	1,543,934	
Total Income	1,250,788	1,741,245	444,997	1,250,788	3,437,030	
Staff Cost	755,022	944,870	394,348	755,022	2,094,240	
Travel costs	24,467	36,700	15,292	24,467	76,458	
Programme Cost	136,556	317,333	76,556	136,556	530,444	
Office Cost	9,800	107,740	94,735	9,800	212,275	
Other Infrastructure	-	-	-	-		
Corporate Support	27,690	45,000	24,750	27,690	97,440	
IT Cost	-	132,953	161,019	-	293,972	
Cost In Kind	28,750	38,400	16,000	28,750	83,150	
User Accommodation	-	-	-	-	-	
Volunteer Cost	-	-	-	-	-	
Directorate Overhead Recharge	15,750	23,580	9,720	15,750	49,050	
Total Cost	998,034	1,646,577	792,420	998,034	3,437,030	
Gross Margin/(Deficit)	252,754	94,668	(347,423)	-	-	
Gross Margin %	20.2%	5.4%		0.0%	0.0%	

Note: Other Infrastructure & Corporate Support Costs includes costs attributable to insurance, marketing, contingecy, corporate support costs and mobile telephony as detailed in the All Other Costs tab.

This page is intentionally left blank

Catch22 Proposal to the DfE Innovation Fund Addendum to Full Proposal



Original Proposal

Catch22 and Cheshire East Council were motivated to take part in the DfE programme specifically because one of its principal aims is about "*changing the conditions in the system so that it is better able to innovate in the future and drive sustained improvements in outcomes for vulnerable children*". We were heartened to see the DfE advise bidders in their programme guidance, "Don't rule out ideas based on barriers created by current guidance, regulations or policy. We will help you to work with individual regulators and policy makers on fresh approaches".

It was with this guidance in mind that we developed our proposal for changing the way in which Children and Need services are delivered. Our proposal is to pilot the introduction of Family Practitioners providing early and intensive interventions to children and families at the lower end of risk and need with a view to eliminating 'Start Again syndrome' whereby cases cycle in and out of statutory provision.

Our proposal is not about replacing highly trained social workers with non-qualified practitioners. We fully acknowledge the essential and central role of the Social Worker in safeguarding children. However, the truth is that there simply aren't enough trained social workers available to meet the demands currently implied by statutory guidance. There appear to be two options then:

- **Option 1:** Continue to spread our Social Worker resource thinly across increasingly high caseloads, thereby reducing their capability to meaningfully engage with service users across the whole spectrum of need.
- **Option 2:** Adopt a new model of delivery which supplements current social work provision, thereby enabling trained social workers to target their expertise where it is most needed and where it can have the greatest impact.

We choose Option 2. Our vision is for a new model of social care delivery which ensures there is a sufficient spectrum of resource and provision to address risks and diverse needs.

Our proposal is also not about creating new teams which sit outside of the existing social care arrangements and which operate in isolation. We are clear that the following conditions must apply in respect of our new teams established as part of our pilot:

- Our teams must be fully integrated with the existing multi-agency arrangements for safeguarding children. Our proposal is supported by the Cheshire East Local Safeguarding Board and they have committed to engaging with us fully during the pilot period
- Our teams must be fully integrated with the Council's existing social care arrangements. We are clear that working alongside the existing social care teams will be essential to ensuring children and families always receive the right support, at the right time and from the right practitioner, and we recognise their will be times when this must be a trained and qualified Social Worker. The inclusion of the Director for Children' Services, Principal Social Worker and Early Year Principal Manager on the delivery board is evidence of our commitment to integration in delivery.
- Our teams must be fully accountable for delivering services which meet the same quality standards currently required in the provision of social care services. We are clear that Cheshire East's Principal Social Worker will ensure our pilot is robustly monitored using the existing Quality Assurance Framework (independent case reviews, audits, inspections, etc.)

The DfE has expressed concerns that our proposal means that non-qualified social workers will be undertaking some elements of the Section 17 process for those children and the lower end of risk and



need, and that this goes against existing guidance and statutory requirements. It does, and this isn't because we believe social workers aren't required at the higher end of risk and need (we believe they are essential when the risks and needs in question are acute). Rather, it's because we believe that applying the existing guidance and statutory requirements across the whole spectrum of risk and need leaves you only with Option 1 – spreading Social Worker resource too thinly. To this end the current guidance and statutory requirements to innovation we believe the programme is aspiring to remove.

The DfE also suggest that the lack of Social Workers in our proposal is made more problematic by the fact that Catch22 would be delivering pilot on behalf of Cheshire East. It's suggested that this goes against the requirements of the Children and Young People's act, specifically that the work undertaken by Catch22 will be 'discharged by, or under the supervision of, registered social workers'. It would, and for the same reasons outlined above.

One of the central principles of our proposal is that Social Workers should be freed up to focus on children and families at the higher end of risk and need, and this is the case regardless of who might deliver those services at the lower end of need, providing the three conditions for integration and quality assurance identified above are met. Requiring that Social Workers oversee all of the work at the lower end of risk and need is simply another version of Option 1 – spreading Social Worker resource too thinly.

Alternative Proposal Models

We contend that our original proposal offers the best opportunity for realising significantly improved outcomes for young people and families, and therefore the greatest value for money. However, if the DfE are unable to support this proposal due to its contravening current statutory guidance and legislation, then we are still keen to test the effectiveness of expanding the spectrum of social care provision through the introduction of Family Practitioner teams. Therefore, we have developed two variant models which introduce qualified and registered social workers within each pod undertaking the role of Senior Practitioner.

Our Senior Practitioners will hold absolute accountability for the cases held by the pod teams. They will oversee the work of the Family Practitioners, providing advice and guidance on best practice and in relation to policy, standards, legislation etc. We will also ensure that our Senior Practitioners sign off all Action Plans for work with children and families, in so doing identifying those cases requiring a heightened degree of oversight and guidance. They will review risks and needs on an ongoing basis providing accountability and support and enabling the development of the skills and knowledge of all the team. We will also ensure that our Senior Manager is a qualified Social Worker who is experienced at a senior management level.

Although not in line with our original proposal intentions, we do feel this signifies the professional respect we have for the Social Worker's role and we hope this helps to satisfy the issues raised by the DfE by aligning our proposal more closely with the current regulatory framework. We view these alternative models, the pilot as a bridge, a stepping stone that will enable us to test new approaches before further consideration of our more radical approach.

Variant Proposal 1

• We retain the existing structures originally proposed, with three pod teams comprising seven family practitioners and a team administrator. However, the Team Managers in each pod shall now be qualified social workers operating as the Senior Practitioner. See Figure 1.



Variant Proposal 2

- Should the DfE consider that a greater degree of Social Worker oversight is required than is afforded by Variant Proposal 2, we would be open to reconfiguring our pod structures with a view to lessening the ratio of Family Practitioners (and therefore cases) to Senior Practitioners from 7:1 to 5:1.
- Under this arrangement we would propose retaining the cohort size (so as not to lose scale in outcomes and value for money), but to have this cohort managed by four pods, each comprising five Family Practitioners, one administrator and a qualified social worker as the Senior Practitioner for the team. See Figure 2.
- The role of the Senior Practitioner in each team would remain the same as for Variant 1, but they would be accountable for and oversee fewer cases as a consequence of the smaller pod configurations.



Figure 1: Variant Proposal A Pod Structure – Each pod will include a qualified and registered social worker



Figure 2: Variant Proposal B Pod Structure – The introduction of an additional pod, each with fewer family practitioners increases the level of Senior Practitioner oversight afforded in the pilot.

Catch22 Full Proposal to the DfE Innovation Programme – Addendum to Full Submission

Catch22 Submission to the DfE Innovation Fund - Addendum



Financial Implications

Reconfiguring our Pod teams will have an impact on the financial requirements for our proposal and we have summarised these changes in Table 1. Essentially the changes result from an uplift in costs to account for introduction of enhanced Senior Practitioner role. Variant B also see the inclusion of an additional Senior Practitioner and Administrator and the removal of one Family Practitioner post (taking the total down to 20). A full cost model for each variant proposal is available upon request should they be required.

Table 1: Variant Proposal financial summary – each variant proposal would require additional funding over and above that originally requested. Cheshire East's contribution would also increase.

Funding Summary	Original Proposal	Variant 1	Variant 2
Requested DfE funding	£1370,660	£1,400,381	£1,437,887
Cheshire East Direct funding	£356,361	£365,277	£376,529
Cheshire East 'in kind'	£82,290	£82,290	£82,240
TOTAL PILOT COST	£1,809,311	£1,847,948	£1,898,655
BAU running costs (year 2)	£1,502,487	£1,538,151	£1,584,958

Additional Clarifications

How much money has been set aside for scale and spread?

We have not included the cost of scale and spread in our financial summary to the DfE. Our intention is to use the pilot period to refine and improve our new model of CiN delivery working in close collaboration with our key safeguarding partners and with the DfE. Therefore, costs associated with scale and spread are likely to change as the model evolves during the period.

Catch22 and Cheshire East are confident that the pilot will evidence the value in our delivery model, both in terms of achieving better outcomes and in terms of monies saved. Our intention is to look for alternative funding streams to finance the scale and spread of the model, and one option under consideration is to apply to the Cabinet Office's Social Outcomes Fund and the Big Lottery's Commissioning Better Outcomes Fund. These funds are aligned to our vision for the future of this model and for the development of a lasting partnership between Cheshire East Council and Catch22 insofar as both funds are designed to support the development of Social Investment Bonds (SIBs) as a means of driving better outcomes. Our initial thinking around SIBs is outlined further below.

What contingencies do we have in place if we don't secure the funding?

Catch22 and Cheshire East strongly believe that our proposal can drive improvements in outcomes for children and families. If we are unable to secure funding from the DfE then we will look to alternative funding opportunities such as Commissioning Better Outcomes and the Social Outcomes Fund, and failing that, we will look to self-finance the pilot, even if at a smaller scale.

What are the plans concerning the social investment bond? Is the project really sustainable on savings Cheshire East will accrue from running the pilot?

We provided a summary of the savings we believe this model will achieve on page 18 of our original submission. It's our contention that the annual operating costs of our delivery model are less than the



savings achievable through it. We therefore consider that there is the potential to attract social investment as a means of scaling up and sustaining our model.

Out intention is to further develop our thinking around the potential for a SIB arrangement during the pilot period, but essentially the plan would be to attract social investment for the working capital required to scale up and finance the delivery of the model in other areas. Cheshire East would thereafter pay a sum for each outcome achieved by the new service, the sum total of which will equate to more than the original investment, but less than the savings achieved for the Local Authority. This is outlined diagrammatically as Figure 3.



Figure 3: High Level SIB structure – Social Investors will receive a return on investment in a JV between Catch22 and Cheshire East Council's Social Care Team. This return on investment will equate to less than the savings achieved by the new delivery model, with the remaining savings retained by the Local Authority. The values used here are based on the costs we have calculated for our model and the savings we that we believe the model will realise.

Although initially our thinking around the use of SIBs has focused on scaling and spreading the model in Cheshire East, in the longer term our view is that this approach could be adopted in collaboration with any Local Authority interested in realising the benefits evidenced by our pilot.

Summary

Catch22 is keen to participate in the DfE Innovation Programme and to test a new model for delivering CiN services, and we believe in doing so we can achieve significantly improved outcomes for service users. We understand that the DfE has concerns about the extent to which our original proposal pushes against the existing guidance and statutory frameworks in place. We hope that the variant proposals we have developed provide the DfE with a greater degree of comfort around our intentions for delivery.

We would welcome the opportunity to discuss our proposal further with the DfE if additional adjustments are required to enable us to secure the funding we require to make a positive impact to the lives of children and families.

This page is intentionally left blank

To all local authorities in England

20 March 2015

To Whom It May Concern,

Re: S31 Grant Determination Letter for Children's Social Care Innovation Programme Grant (2014-16) CSDSD61/2014

This Determination is made between:

(1) The Secretary of State for Education and

(2) The local authorities listed at Annex A

Purpose of the Grant

The purpose of this grant is to provide support to local authorities in England towards expenditure lawfully incurred or to be incurred by them.

This grant is intended to support the projects these local authorities have requested to undertake relating to innovation in children's social care. They may, however, choose how to spend the money in order to best meet local need.

The Children's Social Care Innovation Programme supports the development, testing and spreading of more effective ways of supporting children and families who need help from children's social care services. It has two main focus areas:

- Rethinking children's social work improving the quality and impact of children's social work;
- Rethinking support for adolescents in or on the edge of care improving the quality and impact of services which provide a stable effective launch pad for adolescents to transition successfully into adulthood.

The Innovation Programme will provide valuable lessons about how to secure better outcomes for our most vulnerable children and how best to structure and resource the work we do with them. The Programme is seeking to inspire whole system change so that in five years' time we achieve:

- Better life chances for children receiving help from the social care system;
- Stronger incentives and mechanisms for innovation, experimentation and replication of successful new approaches; and
- Better value for money across children's social care.

Local authorities are undertaking a range of projects to test new ideas.

Some local authorities are involved in multiple projects and Annex A reflects the total amount of funding awarded to each across the Innovation Programme.

Annex A provides details of payments being made to local authorities involved in Innovation Projects where funding was agreed by 27 February 2015. Details of payments to local authorities involved in further projects approved by 31 March 2015 will be published in an updated version of this letter during financial year 2015-16.

Signed by authority of the Secretary of State

Graham Archer, Director, Department of Education

Innovation Programme GRANT DETERMINATION [2014-16]: No 31/2525

The Secretary of State for Education ("the Secretary of State"), in exercise of the powers conferred by section 31 of the Local Government Act 2003, makes the following determination:

Citation

1) This determination may be cited as the Innovation Programme Grant Determination [2014-16] [No. 31/2525].

Purpose of the grant

2) The purpose of the grant is to provide support to local authorities in England towards expenditure lawfully incurred or to be incurred by them.

Determination

3) The Secretary of State determines the authorities to which grant is to be paid and the amount of grant to be paid; these are set out in Annex A.

Treasury consent

4) Before making this determination in relation to local authorities in England, the Secretary of State obtained the consent of the Treasury.

Payment arrangements

5) The total grant to be paid to each authority is set out at Annex A. The grant will be paid in five instalments. The first will be in March 2015 and will be for the sum attributed to the 2014-15 financial year. The sum attributed to the financial year April 2015 to March 2016 will be paid in four instalments of as near equal value as possible in April 2015, June 2015, September 2015 and December 2015.

Signed by authority of the Secretary of State

ZI AN

Graham Archer, Director, Department for Education

Local Authority	TOTAL	Yr 2014-15	Yr 2015-16
Barnet	£123,423	£29,622	£93,801
Brent	£198,000	£198,000	£0
Bristol	£198,000	£198,000	£0
Buckinghamshire	£439,987	£28,360	£411,627
Calderdale Metropolitan Borough Council	£126,265	£0	£126,265
Cambridgeshire County Council	£589,370	£70,000	£519,370
Cheshire East	£1,437,887	£641,352	£796,535
Derbyshire	£528,007	£38,000	£490,007
Durham County Council	£3,756,324	£1,482,500	£2,273,824
Ealing Council	£3,516,000	£1,090,000	£2,426,000
Gloucestershire County Council	£188,000	£188,000	£0
Hammersmith & Fulham	£1,611,600	£1,056,400	£555,200
Hampshire County Council	£3,964,218	£1,480,500	£2,483,718
Harrow	£519,374	£47,168	£472,206
Hertfordshire County Council	£4,862,121	£1,641,578	£3,220,543
Hull	£528,007	£38,000	£490,007
Kensington & Chelsea	£1,160,400	£834,200	£326,200
Leeds City Council	£5,020,030	£1,673,500	£3,346,530
Leicestershire	£297,000	£297,000	£0
Lincolnshire	£207,030	£207,030	£0
London Borough of Enfield	£2,065,000	£100,000	£1,965,000
London Borough of Hackney*	£4,849,838	£2,979,676	£1,870,162
London Borough of Hounslow	£83,067	£19,936	£63,131
London Borough of Islington	£2,961,087	£172,118	£2,788,969
Newcastle City Council	£2,736,768	£1,156,750	£1,580,018
Norfolk	£201,443	£201,443	£0
North East Lincolnshire County Council	£1,056,608	£146,244	£910,364
North Yorkshire County Council	£1,911,492	£1,020,000	£891,492
Oxfordshire County Council	£150,600	£0	£150,600
Royal Borough of Greenwich	£150,558	£0	£50,558
Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead Sefton Council	£1,090,000 £1,116,000	£654,000	£436,000 £716,000
		£400,000	
Sheffield City Council	£1,237,000	£237,000	£1,000,000
London Borough of Southwark	£439,507	£28,000	£411,507
Stockport Council	£3,092,399	£1,190,608	£1,901,791
Stoke City Council	£588,000	0 <u>£</u> 0	£588,000
Suffolk	£297,000	£297,000	£0
Surrey County Council	£729,000	£12,000	£717,000
Torbay Council	£1,250,000	£250,000	£1,000,000
Tower Hamlets	£310,828	£198,000	£112,828
Wakefield	£198,000	£198,000	£0
West Sussex County Council	£297,000	£297,000	£0
Westminster	£1,386,000	£945,400	£440,600
Wigan Borough Council	£1,876,498	£220,920	£1,655,578
Wokingham Fincludes funding for Newham, Southwark, Hull and Donca	£198,000	£198,000	£0